Cas	e 2:19-cv-09214-DMG-KES Document 36	Filed 12/29/20 Page 1 of 9 Page ID #:542			
1 2	Timothy B. Sottile, State Bar No. 127026 Michael F. Baltaxe, State Bar No. 129532				
3	Payam I. Aframian, State Bar No. 299345 Victoria V. Felder, State Bar No. 304894				
4	SOTTILE BALTAXE				
5	28632 Roadside Drive, Suite 100 Agoura Hills, California 91301				
6	Telephone: 818.889.0050 Facsimile: 818.899.6050				
7	Attorneys for Plaintiffs, DAVID CAZARI	ES and			
8	ARA MALEKIAN				
9	UNITED STATE	S DISTRICT COURT			
10	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
11	DAVID CAZARES, an individual,	Case No. 2:19-cv-09214 DMG (KESx)			
12	ARA MALEKIAN, an individual,	(Assigned to The Hon. Dolly M. Gee)			
13	Plaintiffs,	PLAINTIFF'S PROPOSED			
14	v.	SPECIAL VERDICT FORM RE ARA MALEKIAN			
15	HIBU INC., business entity, exact form unknown; and DOES 1 through 100,	Pre-Trial Conference:			
16	inclusive,	Date: January 19, 2021 Time: 2:00 p.m.			
17	Defendants.	Dept.: Courtroom 8C, 8th Floor First Street Courthouse			
18		350 W. 1st Street Los Angeles, CA 90012			
19					
20		Trial Date: February 16, 2021			
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28		- 1 - L VERDICT FORM RE ARA MALEKIAN			

1	TO THIS HONORABLE COURT AND TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR
2	ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:
3	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Plaintiff Ara Malekian hereby submits the
4	following Proposed Special Verdict Form to be issued to the jury in the above-
5	referenced matter.
6	
7	Dated: December 29, 2020
8	By /s/ Payam I. Aframian
9	PAYAM I. AFRAMIAN, ESQ.
10	Attorneys for Plaintiffs
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	- 2 - PLAINTIFF'S PROPOSED SPECIAL VERDICT FORM RE ARA MALEKIAN

Cas	e 2:19-cv-09214-DMG-KES Document 36 Filed 12/29/20 Page 3 of 9 Page ID #:544
1	SPECIAL VERDICT FORM
2	We, the jury in the case of David Cazares, et al. v. Hibu Inc. find the following
3	Special Verdict on the questions submitted to us:
4	<u>TRIAL PHASE 1 – LIABILITY</u>
5	SECTION 1: Malekian's Claim for Disability Discrimination:
6	1. Did Hibu know that Ara Malekian had a disability that limited his ability to
7	work?
8	Yes No
9	If your answer to Question 1 is yes, proceed to Question 2. If you answered no,
10	proceed to the next section.
11	2. Was Ara Malekian able to perform his essential job duties with a reasonable
12	accommodation for his disability?
13	Yes No
14	If your answer to Question 2 is yes, proceed to Question 3. If you answered no,
15	proceed to the next section.
16	3. Did Hibu subject Ara Malekian to an adverse employment action?
17	Yes No
18	If your answer to Question 3 is yes, proceed to Question 4. If you answered no,
19	proceed to the next section.
20	4. Was Ara Malekian's disability a substantial motivating reason for the adverse
21	employment action(s)?
22	Yes No
23	If your answer to Question 4 is yes, proceed to Question 5. If you answered no,
24	proceed to the next section.
25	5. Was HIBU's conduct a substantial factor in causing harm to Ara Malekian?
26	Yes No
27	Proceed to the next section.
28	- 3 - PLAINTIFF'S PROPOSED SPECIAL VERDICT FORM RE ARA MALEKIAN

Cas	e 2:19-cv-09214-DMG-KES Document 36 Filed 12/29/20 Page 4 of 9 Page ID #:545
1	SECTION 2: Malekian's Claim for Failure to Provide Reasonable
2	Accommodation:
3	6. Did Ara Malekian have a disability that limited his ability to work?
4	Yes No
5	If your answer to Question 6 is yes, proceed to Question 7. If you answered no,
6	proceed to the next section.
7	7. Did Hibu know that Ara Malekian had a disability that limited his ability to
8	work?
9	Yes No
10	If your answer to Question 7 is yes, proceed to Question 8. If you answered no,
11	proceed to the next section.
12	8. Was Ara Malekian able to perform his essential job duties with a reasonable
13	accommodation for his medical condition?
14	Yes No
15	If your answer to Question 8 is yes, proceed to Question 9. If you answered no,
16	proceed to the next section.
17	9. Did Hibu fail to provide reasonable accommodation for Plaintiff's disability?
18	Yes No
19	If your answer to Question 9 is yes, proceed to Question 10. If you answered no,
20	proceed to the next section.
21	10. Would Ara Malekian's proposed accommodations have created an undue
22	hardship to Hibu's business?
23	Yes No
24	If your answer to Question 10 is yes, proceed to Question 11. If you answered no,
25	proceed to the next section.
26	
27	
28	- 4 - PLAINTIFF'S PROPOSED SPECIAL VERDICT FORM RE ARA MALEKIAN

Cas	e 2:19-cv-09214-DMG-KES Document 36 Filed 12/29/20 Page 5 of 9 Page ID #:546
1	11. Was Hibu's failure to provide a reasonable accommodation a substantial factor
2	in causing harm to Ara Malekian?
3	Yes No
4	Proceed to the next section.
5	SECTION 3: Malekian's Claim for Failure to Engage in the Interactive Process:
6	12. Did Ara Malekian have a disability that limited his ability to work?
7	Yes No
8	If your answer to Question 12 is yes, proceed to Question 13. If you answered no,
9	proceed to the next section.
10	13.Did Ara Malekian request Hibu make a reasonable accommodation for his
11	disability so that he would be able to perform the essential job requirements?
12	Yes No
13	If your answer to Question 13 is yes, proceed to Question 14. If you answered no,
14	proceed to the next section.
15	14. Was Ara Malekian willing to participate in an interactive process to determine
16	whether reasonable accommodation could be made?
17	Yes No
18	If your answer to Question 14 is yes, proceed to Question 15. If you answered no,
19	proceed to the next section.
20	15.Did Hibu fail to participate in a timely good-faith interactive process with Ara
21	Malekian to determine whether reasonable accommodation could be made?
22	Yes No
23	If your answer to Question 15 is yes, proceed to Question 16. If you answered no,
24	proceed to the next section.
25	16. Was Hibu's failure to engage in a good-faith interactive process a substantial
26	factor in causing Ara Malekian's harm?
27	Yes No
28	P <u>roceed to the next section.</u> - 5 - PLAINTIFF'S PROPOSED SPECIAL VERDICT FORM RE ARA MALEKIAN

Cas	e 2:19-cv-09214-DMG-KES Document 36 Filed 12/29/20 Page 6 of 9 Page ID #:547
1	SECTION 4: Malekian's Claim for Retaliation in Violation of FEHA:
2	17.Did Ara Malekian request a leave of absence for a disability?
3	Yes No
4	If your answer to Question 17 is yes, proceed to Question 18. If you answered no,
5	proceed to the next section.
6	18. Did HIBU subject Ara Malekian to an adverse employment action?
7	Yes No
8	If your answer to Question 18 is yes, proceed to Question 19. If you answered no,
9	proceed to the next section.
10	19. Was Ara Malekian's request for a leave of absence a substantial motivating
11	reason for the adverse employment action?
12	Yes No
13	If your answer to Question 19 is yes, proceed to Question 20. If you answered no,
14	proceed to the next section.
15	20. Was HIBU's conduct a substantial factor in causing Ara Malekian's harm?
16	Yes No
17	Proceed to the next section.
18	SECTION 5: Malekian's Claim for Retaliation in Violation of the CFRA:
19	21.Has Ara Malekian proven that he was eligible for medical leave?
20	Yes No
21	If your answer to Question 21 is yes, proceed to Question 22. If you answered no,
22	proceed to the next section.
23	22.Did Ara Malekian take medical leave?
24	Yes No
25	If your answer to Question 22 is yes, proceed to Question 23. If you answered no,
26	proceed to the next section.
27	23.Did HIBU subject Plaintiff to an adverse employment action?
28	Yes - 6 -No PLAINTIFF'S PROPOSED SPECIAL VERDICT FORM RE ARA MALEKIAN

 If your answer to Question 23 is yes, proceed to Question 24. If you answ proceed to the next section. 	
2 proceed to the next section	eason for
2 proceed to the next section.	eason for
3 24. Was Ara Malekian's taking of medical leave a substantial motivating r	
4 the adverse employment action?	
5 Yes No	
6 If your answer to Question 24 is yes, proceed to Question 25. If you answ	vered no ,
7 proceed to the next section.	
8 25.Was Hibu's retaliatory conduct a substantial factor in causing Ara M	alekian's
9 harm?	
10 Yes No	
11 <i>Proceed to the next section.</i>	
12 SECTION 6: Malekian's Claim for Age Discrimination:	
13 26. Was Ara Malekian subjected to an adverse employment action?	
14 Yes No	
15 If your answer to Question 26 is yes, proceed to Question 27. If you answ	vered no ,
16 <i>proceed to the next section.</i>	
17 27.Was Ara Malekian's age a substantial motivating reason for the	adverse
18 employment action(s)?	
19 Yes No	
20 If your answer to Question 27 is yes, proceed to Question 28. If you answ	vered no ,
21 proceed to the next section.	
22 28.Was HIBU's adverse employment action a substantial factor in causing	g harm to
23 Plaintiff Ara Malekian?	
24 Yes No	
25 <i>Proceed to the next section.</i>	
26	
27	
28 - 7 - PLAINTIFF'S PROPOSED SPECIAL VERDICT FORM RE ARA MALEKL	

Case	2:19-cv-09214-DMG-KES	Document 36	Filed 12/29/20	Page 8 of 9	Page ID #:549

1	SECTION 7: Malekian's Claim for Failure to Prevent Discrimination and
2	Retaliation:
3	29.Did Hibu Inc. fail to take all reasonable steps to prevent the discrimination
4	and/or retaliation?
5	Yes No
6	If your answer to Question 29 is yes, proceed to Question 30. If you answered no,
7	proceed to the next section
8	30. Was Hibu's failure to take all reasonable steps to prevent discrimination and/or
9	retaliation a substantial factor in causing Ara Malekian's harm?
10	Yes No
11	Proceed to the next section.
12	<u>DAMAGES</u>
13	31.Did you find in favor of Ara Malekian on one or more of his claims above, by
14	answering YES to one or more of the following questions: Question 5, 11, 16,
15	20, 25, 28, and/or 30?
16	Yes No
17	If your answer to Question 31 is yes, proceed to Question 32. If you answered no,
18	proceed to the next section.
19	32.What are Ara Malekian's damages?
20	A. Past economic loss [Lost earnings] \$
21	B. Future economic loss [Lost earnings] \$
22	C. Past noneconomic loss [physical pain/mental suffering/loss of
23	enjoyment of life/ inconvenience/grief/anxiety/humiliation/emotional distress
24	\$
25	D. Future noneconomic loss [physical pain/mental suffering/loss of
26	enjoyment of life/ inconvenience/grief/anxiety/humiliation/emotional distress
27	\$
28	- 8 - PLAINTIFF'S PROPOSED SPECIAL VERDICT FORM RE ARA MALEKIAN

Cas	e 2:19-cv-09214-DMG-KES Document 36 Filed 12/29/20 Page 9 of 9 Page ID #:550
1	
	Did an officer, director or managing agent of Hibu act, authorize, or approve of the
2	conduct with malice, oppression, or fraud?
3	Yes No
4	
5	No further questions.
6	
7	Please have the presiding juror sign and date this Special Verdict Form and
8	return it to the Bailiff.
9	
10	Dated:
11	Presiding Juror
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	- 9 -
20	PLAINTIFF'S PROPOSED SPECIAL VERDICT FORM RE ARA MALEKIAN