1130 SW Morrison St., Suite 200, Portland OR 97205

# LAW ENFORCEMENT-BASED VICTIM SERVICES IN OHIO: PRIVACY, PRIVILEGE AND CONFIDENTIALITY

### INTRODUCTION

Best practice in victim services is about facilitating victims' ability to exercise meaningful choices. This requires understanding of and supporting the exercise of victims' rights, which are found in state constitutions, statutes, rules and policies. For victims' rights to be meaningful both compliance with and enforcement of those rights is necessary. Compliance is the fulfillment of legal responsibilities to victims and making efforts to reduce willful, negligent or inadvertent failures to fulfill those legal responsibilities; enforcement is the pursuit, by a victim or someone on behalf of a victim, of a judicial or administrative order that either mandates compliance with victims' rights or provides remedies for violations of victims' rights laws.

In addition to understanding victims' rights, best practices in victim services requires understanding one's legal and ethical obligations as an advocate with regard to victim privacy, confidentiality and privilege, and the scope of one's services. Informing victims—at the first or earliest possible contact with them—of their rights and the advocate's role, including limitations on that role, is critical to victims' ability to make informed decisions about whether and how to exercise their rights, and whether, what and how much to share with any particular service provider. In addition, advocates need to build and maintain relationships throughout the community in order to provide meaningful referrals to victim service providers with complementary roles when a victim needs the referral.

### **USING THIS RESOURCE**

This resource is designed to enhance victim services personnel's knowledge and understanding of the law governing crime victims' rights to privacy, confidentiality and privilege in Ohio. It provides an overview of key concepts and excerpts of key legal citations that can help facilitate victims' meaningful choices regarding these rights. To keep this *Guide* as user-friendly as possible in light of the breadth, complexity and evolving nature of law, the *Guide* does not include all laws. It does not constitute legal advice, nor does it substitute for legal advice. This resource is best used together with its companion resource *Select Victims' Rights - Ohio*.

# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

Introduction	
Using This Resource	
Overview	
System-Based and Community-Based Advocates	
Privacy, Confidentiality and Privilege	4
HIPAA, FERPA, FOIA, and VOCA	7
Ethical Code Relevant to Advocates	10
Brady v. Maryland	
Giglio v. United States	13
Subpoena Considerations	13
Select Laws Privacy	
Confidentiality	
Privilege	
Definitions	

This draft publication was developed by the National Crime Victim Law Institute (NCVLI) under 2018-V3-GX-K049, awarded by the Office for Victims of Crime, Office of Justice Programs, U.S. Department of Justice. The opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this draft publication are those of the contributors and do not necessarily represent the official position of the U.S. Department of Justice.

### **OVERVIEW**

What are system-based and community-based advocates, and what are key similarities and the differences between them?

It is imperative that an advocate understands and communicates clearly—at the first encounter or earliest possible contact—whether one is a community-based or system-based advocate, the advocate's legal and ethical obligations with regard to privacy, confidentiality and privilege and the scope of the services that the advocate offers. This information will assist the victim in understanding the role of the advocate and any limitations of that role regarding: (1) the services that the advocate can provide; and (2) the privacy protections that exist regarding information shared with the advocate. Further, providing a clear explanation of the advocate's role to the victim will help the victim make informed decisions, build rapport and avoid misunderstandings.

While both system-based and community-based advocates serve victims and operate under a general ethical rule of confidentiality, there are significant differences between them. System-based advocates are typically employed by a law enforcement agency, office of the prosecuting attorney, corrections or another entity within the city, county, state or federal government. Titles for system-based vary; for example, victim advocates, victim-witness coordinators, victim assistance personnel.<sup>2</sup> Because system-based advocates are typically a component of a government agency or program, a primary focus of their work is assisting victims in their interactions with the system, and they will typically be able to provide services to the victims during the pendency of the investigation, prosecution and post-conviction legal aspects of a case. In addition, this placement as part of a government agency or program generally means that system-based advocates are subject to the *Brady* disclosure obligations (*see Brady v. Maryland* Section below for additional information) and generally, their communications with victims are not protected by privilege.

By contrast, community-based advocates are generally not directly linked to any government actor or agency. As such, they are not subject to *Brady*; generally, can assist victims even if a crime has not been reported; can assist before, during and after a criminal case; can provide holistic services aimed at victims' broad needs; and, depending on the jurisdiction's laws and funding source, can maintain privileged communications with victims.<sup>3</sup>

Because each type of advocate has different duties and protections they can offer victims, knowledge of and partnerships between them is an integral part of facilitating meaningful victim choice and helping victims access holistic services.

# What are privacy, confidentiality and privilege? Why do the differences matter?

## Privacy

"Privacy" is a fundamental right, essential to victim agency, autonomy and dignity, which—among other things—permits boundaries that limit who has access to our communications and information.

Privacy can be understood as the ability to control the dissemination of personal information. See Commonwealth ex rel. Platt v. Platt, 404 A.2d 410, 429 (Pa. Super. Ct. 1979) ("The essence of privacy is no more, and certainly no less, than the freedom of the individual to pick and choose for [themselves] the time and circumstances under which, and most importantly, the extent to which, his attitudes, beliefs, and behavior and opinions are to be shared with or withheld from others."). For many crime victims, maintaining privacy in their personal information and communications is vitally important. In fact, maintaining privacy is so important that some victims refrain from accessing critical legal, medical or counseling services without an assurance that treatment professionals will protect their personal information from disclosure. Understanding this and wishing as a matter of public policy to encourage access to services when needed, federal and state legislatures and professional licensing bodies have created frameworks of laws and regulations that help protect the information victims share with professionals from further dissemination. To this end, every jurisdiction has adopted statutory or constitutional victims' rights; some jurisdictions explicitly protect victims' rights to privacy, or to be treated with dignity, respect or fairness.<sup>4</sup> Victims also have a federal Constitutional right to privacy.<sup>5</sup>

In addition to the broad rights to privacy that exist, privacy protections generally come in two forms: "confidentiality" and "privilege." Professionals who work with victims should understand each concept.

## Confidentiality

"Confidentiality" is a legal and ethical duty not to disclose the victim-client's information learned in confidence.

As part of accessing services, victims frequently share highly sensitive personal information with professionals. The victims' willingness to share the information may be premised on the professionals' promise not to disclose the victims' information. The promise to hold in confidence the victim's information is governed by the professional's ethical duties, regulatory framework and/or by other various laws, and breaking the promise may carry sanctions. The promise not to disclose information that is shared in confidence—as well as

the legal framework that recognizes this promise—are what qualifies this information as "confidential."

Key aspects of confidential communications are that: (1) they are made with the expectation of privacy; (2) they are not accessible to the general public; (3) there may or may not be legal requirements that the recipient keep the information private; and (4) there may be a professional/ethical obligation to keep the information private.

Professional confidentiality obligations may be imposed by one's profession, e.g., advocate ethics; social worker ethics; attorney ethics; medical provider ethics; and mental health counselor ethics. In addition, certain laws may have confidentiality provisions that are tied to funding. If an entity receives such funds, then it is bound by confidentiality or risks losing funding. Examples of laws that impose confidentiality requirements include the: (1) Victims of Crime Act (VOCA), 28 C.F.R. § 94.115; (2) Violence Against Women Act (VAWA), 34 U.S.C.A. § 12291(b)(2)(A)-(B); and (3) Family Violence Prevention and Services Act (FVPSA), 42 U.S.C.A. § 10406 (c)(5)(B). For example, VAWA (Section 3), VOCA and FVPSA regulations prohibit sharing personally identifying information about victims without informed, written, reasonably time-limited consent. VAWA and VOCA also prohibit disclosure of individual information without written consent. In addition, depending on the types of victim information at issue, other statutes may impose additional restrictions, including the Federal Educational Rights & Privacy Act (FERPA), 20 U.S.C. § 1232g (protections governing the handling of education records); the Health Insurance Portability & Accountability Act (HIPAA), 42 U.S.C. § 1320d et seq. (protections governing the handling of health records); and the Stored Communications Act (SCA), 18 U.S.C. § 2701 et seq. (protections applying to electronic communications and transactions records).

When providing services, professionals should discuss with victims the consequences of sharing information before information is shared. These consequences may include the: (1) inability to "take back" a disclosure; (2) lack of control over the information once released; and (3) risk of the accused accessing the information. In addition, even when laws appear to prohibit disclosure, there are often exceptions that require disclosure, for instance in response to court orders or valid subpoenas. These limits should be explained to a victim. For example, a court may make a determination that an accused's interests outweigh the confidentiality protection afforded by a law and order the professional to disclose the victim's private information. Although a victim can be assured that a professional may not ethically disclose her confidential information unless legally required to do so, it is important that a victim understand that courts have the authority to require a professional to break the promise of confidentiality when certain conditions are met. Other circumstances that may compel disclosure of victims' otherwise confidential information include if the information is shared with a mandatory reporter of elder or child abuse and if

the information falls within the state's required disclosures to defendant pursuant to the United States Supreme Court case *Brady v. Maryland*.

Thus, although the basic rule of confidentiality is that a victim's information is not shared outside an agency unless the victim gives permission to do so, it is important to inform victims before they share information whether, when and under what circumstances information may be further disclosed.

# Privilege

"Privilege" is a legal right of the victim not to disclose—or to prevent the disclosure of—certain information in connection with court and other proceedings.

Legislatures throughout the country have recognized that the effective practice of some professions requires even stronger legal protection of confidential communications between the professional and client. This recognition has resulted in the passage of laws that prevent courts from forcing these professionals to break the promise of confidentiality no matter how relevant the information is to the issues in the legal proceeding. This additional protection is "privilege"—a legal right not to disclose certain information, even in the face of a valid subpoena. 6 Key aspects of privileged communications are that: (1) they are specially protected, often by statute; (2) disclosure without permission of the privilege holder (i.e., the victim) is prohibited; (3) they are protected from disclosure in court or other proceedings; (4) the protections may be waived only by the holder of the privilege (i.e. the victim); and (5) some exceptions may apply. Examples of communications that may be protected by privilege depending on jurisdiction include: (1) spousal; (2) attorney-client; (3) clergy-penitent; (4) psychotherapist/counselor-patient; (5) doctor-patient; and (6) advocate-victim. Jurisdictions that recognize a given privilege may narrowly define terms and thereby limit its applications. For example, among the jurisdictions that recognize an advocate-victim privilege, many define the term "advocate" to exclude those who are system-based (e.g., affiliated with a law-enforcement agency or a prosecutor's office).

## Understanding the Differences

Because maintaining a victim's control over whether and how to disclose personal information is so important and because community-based and system-based advocates can offer different levels of protection regarding communications, every professional must know whether their communications with a victim are confidential or privileged, as well as how courts have interpreted the scope of each protection. This information should be shared victims in advance of information disclosure. To do otherwise may provide victim-clients with a false sense of security regarding their privacy and inflict further harm if their personal information is unexpectedly disclosed.

# What are HIPAA, FERPA, FOIA and VOCA, and why are these relevant to my work as an advocate?<sup>8</sup>

HIPAA: Federal law—as well as state law in many jurisdictions—provides crime victims with different forms of protections from disclosure of their personal and confidential information. This includes protections against the disclosure of medical and/or therapy and other behavioral health records without the victim's consent. HIPAA—codified at 42 U.S.C. § 1320d et seq. and 45 C.F.R. § 164.500 et seq.—is the acronym for the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act, a federal law passed in 1996. HIPAA does a variety of things, but most relevantly, it requires the protection and confidential handling of protected health information (PHI). This is important, because although it permits release of PHI in response to a valid court order, no such release may be made in response to a subpoena or other request unless one of the following circumstances is met:

- 1. The entity must receive "satisfactory assurance" from "the party seeking the information that reasonable efforts have been made by such party to ensure that the individual who is the subject of the protected health information that has been requested has been given notice of the request[,]" 45 C.F.R. § 164.512 (e)(1)(ii)(A). -or-
- 2. The entity must receive "satisfactory assurance" from the "party seeking the information that reasonable efforts have been made by such party to secure a qualified protective order" that meets certain requirements, detailed in subsection (iv), 45 C.F.R. § 164.512 (e)(1)(ii)(B).

Advocates may wish to inform victims that they may proactively contact their medical providers, informing them that the victims are asserting privilege and other legal protections in their records, and requesting that these providers: (1) give them prompt notice of any request for the victims' medical records; (2) refuse to disclose the records pursuant to any such request without first receiving a valid court order; and (3) ensure that no medical records are released without first permitting the victims to file a challenge to their release.

<u>FERPA</u>: The Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA)—codified at 20 U.S.C. § 1232g—"is a federal law that protects the privacy of student education records, and the [personally identifiable information] contained therein, maintained by educational agencies or institutions or by a party acting for the agencies or institutions." FERPA applies to those agencies and institutions that receive funding under any U.S. Department of Education program. "Private schools at the elementary and secondary levels generally do not receive funds from the Department [of Education] and are, therefore, not subject to FERPA, but may be subject to other data privacy laws such as HIPAA."

Protections afforded by FERPA include the right of parents or eligible students to provide a signed and dated, written consent that clearly identifies which education records or

personally identifiable information may be disclosed by the educational agency or institution; the person who may receive such records or information; and the purpose for the disclosure prior to disclosure of an education record or personally identifiable information, except in limited circumstances such as health or safety. 12

Notably, while the Department of Education provides that law enforcement records are not education records, "personally identifiable information [collected] from education records, which the school shares with the law enforcement unit, do not lose their protected status as education records just because they are shared with the law enforcement unit." Thus, law enforcement has a duty to understand and comply with FERPA when drafting police reports, supplemental reports and, generally, sharing or relaying information.

It is important that advocates have an understanding of FERPA as well as other federal laws, state laws and local policies that address student privacy in education records as eligible students or parents may be afforded privacy protections in addition to FERPA. For example, "the education records of students who are children with disabilities are not only protected by FERPA but also by the confidentiality of information provisions in the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA)."<sup>14</sup>

<u>FOIA</u>: Open records' laws—also commonly referred to as public records' laws or sunshine laws—permit any person to request government documents and, if the government refuses to turn them over, to file a lawsuit to compel disclosure. Every state and the federal government have such laws, which carry a presumption of disclosure, meaning that all government records are presumed open for public inspection unless an exemption applies.

The federal open records' law, known as the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA or the "Act"), 5 U.S.C. §552, was enacted in 1966. Similar to its state counterparts, FOIA provides for the legally enforceable right of any person to obtain access to federal agency records subject to the Act, except to the extent that any portions of such records are protected from public disclosure by one of the nine exemptions. Three such exemptions, Exemptions 6, 7(C) and 7(F) protect different types of personal information in federal records from disclosure. Exemption 6 "protects information about individuals in 'personnel and medical files and similar files' when the disclosure of such information 'would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy." Exemption 7(C) "is limited to information compiled for law enforcement purposes, and protects personal information when disclosure 'could reasonably be expected to constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy.' Under both exemptions, the concept of privacy not only encompasses that which is inherently private, but also includes an 'individual's control of information concerning [his/her/their] person." Exemption 7(F), which also applies to law enforcement records, exempts records that contain information that "could reasonably be expected to endanger the life or physical safety of any individual."

Similar to FOIA, state open records' laws contain numerous exemptions, including for some types of law enforcement records (for example prohibitions on disclosing identifying information of victims' and witnesses' generally or of child-victims and/or victims of certain crimes). Advocates should have an understanding of their jurisdiction's open records' laws, especially as they relate to exemptions from disclosure that may be afforded to law enforcement and other victim-related records within their office's possession. Jurisdiction-specific victims' rights laws—including rights to privacy and protection—also provide grounds for challenging public records' requests for victims' private information.

<u>VOCA</u>: The Victims of Crime Act of 1984 (VOCA)–codified at 34 U.S.C. §§ 20101-20111–established the "Crime Victims Fund," which is managed by the Office for Victims of Crime, Office of Justice Programs, U.S. Department of Justice. The Crime Victims Fund (the Fund) is financed by, *inter alia*, fines and penalties from persons convicted of crimes against the United States as opposed to by tax dollars.<sup>17</sup> The Fund supports victim assistance programs that offer direct victim services and crime victim compensation.<sup>18</sup> Examples of direct services are crisis intervention, emergency shelters or transportation, counseling and criminal justice advocacy; and crime victim compensation programs that cover expenses incurred as a result of the crime.<sup>19</sup>

Agencies that receive VOCA funding are mandated to protect crime victims' confidentiality and privacy except in limited exceptions, such as mandatory reporting or statutory or court mandates. Specifically, state administering agencies and subrecipients of VOCA funding, are mandated "to the extent permitted by law, [to] reasonably protect the confidentiality and privacy of [victims] receiving services . . . and shall not disclose, reveal, or release, except . . . [in limited circumstances:] (1) [a]ny personally identifying information or individual information collected in connection with VOCA—funded services requested, utilized, or denied, regardless of whether such information has been encoded, encrypted, hashed, or otherwise protected; or (2) [i]ndividual client information, without the informed, written, reasonably time-limited consent of the person about whom information is sought . . . ." 28 C.F.R. § 94.115(a)(1)-(2).

Even if disclosure of individual client information is required by statute or court order, state administering agencies and sub-recipients' privacy and confidentiality obligations owed to crime victims do not disappear. State administering agencies and subrecipients of VOCA funds "shall make reasonable attempts to provide notice to victims affected by the disclosure of the information, and take reasonable steps necessary to protect the privacy and safety of the persons affected by the release of the information." 28 C.F.R. § 94.115(b).

VOCA also mandates that none of the protections afforded to victims be circumvented. For example, a crime victim may neither be required to release personally identifying information in exchange for services nor be required to provide personally identifying information for recording or reporting purposes. 28 C.F.R. § 94.115(d).

It is important that advocates are aware if their positions and/or offices are subject to VOCA's mandates regarding victims' confidentiality and privacy protections and if so, understand how these mandates interact with disclosure obligations.

## Is there an ethical code relevant to my work as an advocate?

Yes, there is an ethical code–or "principles of conduct"–that guides victim advocates in their work. Although there is no formal regulatory board that oversees victim assistance programs, the *Model Standards for Serving Victims & Survivors of Crime (Model Standards)* was created by the National Victim Assistance Standards Consortium with guidance from experts across the nation "to promote the competency and ethical integrity of victim service providers, in order to enhance their capacity to provide high-quality, consistent responses to crime victims and to meet the demands facing the field today." The *Model Standards* cover three areas: (1) Program Standards for Serving Victims & Survivors of Crime; (2) Competency Standards for Serving Victims & Survivors of Crime; and (3) Ethical Standards for Serving Victims & Survivors of Crime.

The third area—"Ethical Standards for Serving Victims & Survivors of Crime"—contains "ethical expectations" of *victim service providers that are* "based on core values" in the field and are intended to serve as guidelines for providers in the course of their work. The Ethical Standards are comprised of five sections:

- (1) Scope of Services;
- (2) Coordinating within the Community;
- (3) Direct Services;
- (4) Privacy, Confidentiality, Data Security and Assistive Technology; and
- (5) Administration and Evaluation.<sup>22</sup>,

Notably, "[p]rofessionals who are trained in another field (e.g., psychology, social work) but are engaging in victim services will [also] abide by their own professional codes of ethics. If th[ose] ethical standards establish a higher standard of conduct than is required by law or another professional ethic, victim assistance providers should meet the higher ethical standard. If ethical standards appear to conflict with the requirements of law or another professional ethic, providers should take steps to resolve the conflict in a responsible manner."<sup>23</sup>

# What is the difference between discovery and production and how does this relate to the Supreme Court's decision in *Brady v. Maryland*?

The Supreme Court case Brady v. Maryland, as well as jurisdiction-specific statutes and court rules, impose discovery and disclosure obligations on the prosecution and defendant—not on the victim.

In criminal cases, victim privacy is routinely at risk by parties seeking personal records, such as counseling, mental health, medical, employment, educational and child protective services records. The law governing when these records must be disclosed to a defendant is complex, touching on a number of factors, including whether the records are within the government's control; whether they are protected by a privilege; whether any applicable privilege is absolute or qualified; whether a victim has waived any privilege in full or in part; the scope of the jurisdiction's constitutional or statutory rights and/or protections for victims; and the jurisdiction's statutes and rules governing discovery and production. If the records sought are properly in the possession or control of the prosecutor, a defendant may be entitled to those records pursuant to constitutional, statutory or rule-based rights to discovery. If, however, the records are not in the possession (or properly in the possession) of the prosecutor, a defendant must subpoena those records pursuant to the jurisdiction's statutes and rules governing production of documents from a nonparty. Although courts and practitioners sometimes refer to defendant's receipt of materials from both the prosecutor and nonparties as "discovery," this imprecise use of the term confuses a defendant's right to discovery from the prosecutor with a defendant's right to production from a nonparty.

In a criminal prosecution, the term "discovery" refers to the exchange of information between parties to the case—the prosecutor and defendant. *See, e.g.*, Fed R. Crim. P. 16 (entitled "Discovery and Inspection," the rule explicitly and exclusively governs discovery between the government and defendant). It does not govern defendant's ability to obtain information directly from a crime victim or other nonparty. With regard to discovery from the prosecutor, a criminal defendant has no federal constitutional right to general discovery.<sup>24</sup> The prosecutor, instead, is only constitutionally required to disclose information that is exculpatory and material to the issue of guilt, *see Brady v. Maryland*, 373 U.S. 83, 87-88 (1963), and which is within the custody or control of the prosecutor.<sup>25</sup> The *Brady* rule imposes an affirmative "duty to disclose such evidence . . . even [when] there has been no request [for the evidence] by the accused, . . . and . . . the duty encompasses impeachment evidence as well as exculpatory evidence."<sup>26</sup> The prosecutor's *Brady* obligation extends to all exculpatory material and impeachment evidence and to "others acting on the government's behalf in th[e] case."<sup>27</sup>

Federal and state courts have found that prosecution-based victim advocates are considered part of the "prosecution team" for *Brady* purposes.<sup>28</sup> Beyond that material to which a defendant is constitutionally entitled, a prosecutor's obligation to disclose information is governed by statute or procedural rule. A criminal defendant is often entitled to additional discovery materials from the prosecutor pursuant to statutes or rules, though discovery statutes and rules vary widely between jurisdictions.

Victims should be informed that disclosure requirements—imposed by Brady as well as a jurisdiction's statutes and rules governing discovery—may impact victim privacy.

Prosecutors are required by law to disclose exculpatory statements to the defense. Because system-based advocates are generally considered agents of the prosecutors, and prosecutors are deemed to know what advocates know, such advocates are generally required to disclose to the prosecutors the exculpatory statements made by victims to advocates.<sup>29</sup> Examples of exculpatory statements might include:

- "I lied to the police."
- "I hit him first and he was defending himself."
- "The crime didn't happen."
- "The defendant is not really the person who assaulted me."
- Any other statement from a victim that directly implicates a victim's truthfulness regarding the crime.
- Any other statement from the victim that provides information that could be helpful to a defendant's case.

Important steps that victim advocates may take to help ensure that their office has appropriate policies and procedures in place to protect victims in light of required disclosures to prosecutors' offices include:

- Ensure that every person clearly understands the prosecutor's interpretation and expectations regarding discovery and exculpatory evidence with regard to victim advocates.
- Work with the prosecutors' offices to create a policy/practice that addresses the limits of system-based advocate confidentiality.
- Inform victims prior to sharing of information if the victim advocate is bound by the rules that govern prosecutors.
- Develop a short, simple explanation to use with victims to communicate your responsibilities (e.g., don't use the word "exculpatory").
- Consider including a simple statement in the initial contact letter or notice explaining limitations.
- Determine how and when advocates will remind victims of the limits of confidentiality throughout the process.
- Identify what documentation an advocate might come into contact with and whether
  the prosecutors' office considers it discoverable. For example: (1) Victim
  compensation forms; (2) victim impact statements; (3) restitution documentation;
  and (4) U-Visa application documentation.
- Create policies regarding the types of documentation that an advocate may not need from the victim in order to provide effective victim advocacy (e.g., victim statements, treatment plans, safety plans, opinions, conclusions, criticisms). Determine a process for clearly marking documents that are not discoverable to ensure they are not inadvertently disclosed. For example, use a red stamp that says, "Not Discoverable."
- Inform the victim at the time they make a disclosure that constitutes exculpatory
  evidence—or soon as a statement is deemed exculpatory—that it is going to be
  disclosed.

- When possible, avoid receiving a victim impact statement in writing prior to sentencing.
- Develop relationships with complementary victim advocates and communicate about your obligations and boundaries regarding exculpatory evidence. This will allow everyone to help set realistic expectations with victims regarding privacy.
- Establish how exculpatory information will be communicated to the prosecutor's office.

## What is Giglio, and why is it relevant to my work as an advocate?

Giglio v. United States, 405 U.S. 150 (1972), is a case that was heard before the United States Supreme Court.<sup>30</sup> The impact of the Court's decision in Giglio intersects with advocates' work as it makes it imperative that advocates understand: (1) what "material evidence" is (see Brady v. Maryland section for additional information); (2) how the advocate's role is or is not related to the prosecutor's office along with any corresponding professional, ethical obligations; (3) ways to avoid re-victimization by preventing violations that would cause a victim to undergo a second trial for the same crime; (4) the types of procedures and regulations that need to be implemented for advocates to ensure—in the face of prosecutor or advocate turnover—that all relevant and appropriate information is provided to the prosecutor handling the case; and (5) whether state or other local laws impose additional obligations that build on those prescribed by Giglio.

# What are key considerations for system-based advocates who receive a subpoena?<sup>31</sup>

In addition to providing prompt notice of receipt of a subpoena to the victim—whose rights and interests are implicated—a key consideration for system-based advocates, their superiors and the attorneys with whom they work is determining the type of subpoena received.<sup>32</sup> Subpoenas that system-based advocates often encounter are subpoenas demanding either: (a) a person's presence before a court or to a location other than a court for a sworn statement; or (b) a person's presence along with specified documentation, records or other tangible items.<sup>33</sup>

When system-based advocates receive the latter (which is called a subpoena duces tecum) there are a number of factors that should be considered, such as whether the documentation, record or item sought (a) is discoverable; or (b) constitutes *Brady* material, as defined by federal, state and local law. If an item, for example, is neither discoverable nor *Brady* material, an advocate, by law, may not be required to disclose the item. The same may be true if the item falls within an exception to discovery and does not constitute *Brady* material.<sup>34</sup> For additional information on *Brady* material, *see* the *Brady v. Maryland* section pertaining to disclosure obligations. Notably, this analysis is relevant to other types of subpoenas as well. For example, if a person is subpoenaed to testify and it is anticipated

that defense counsel will attempt to elicit testimony that he/she/they are not legally entitled to, a prosecutor may file a motion in advance—such as a motion in limine or a motion for a protective order—requesting that the scope of the testimony be limited, narrowly tailored or otherwise limited in accordance with the jurisdiction's laws. For advocates employed by prosecutor's offices this analysis must be completed in cooperation with the prosecuting attorney.

Other key considerations for system-based advocates, their superiors and the attorneys they work with include determining: whether the requester has a right to issue a subpoena, and, more specifically, a right to issue a subpoena for the person's attendance and/or items sought; whether the subpoena is unspecified, vague or overbroad to warrant an objection that the subpoena is facially invalid or procedurally flawed; which court mechanisms are available to oppose the subpoena; whether such mechanisms are time sensitive and require immediate action; whether the victim received ample notice and adequate information; what the victim's position is; and whether the law affords the victim privacy, confidentiality or privilege rights or protections that must be protected and enforced.

#### SELECT LAWS

### **SELECT PRIVACY LAWS**

## What are key privacy rights and/or protections in Ohio?

Victims of crime in Ohio have myriad state constitutional rights—under Article 1, section 10a—that protect victims' rights and interests and contemplate or necessitate victims' privacy. See, e.g., Ohio Const. art. I, § 10a(A)(1) (recognizing the right of victims "to be treated with fairness and respect for the victim's safety, dignity and privacy); Ohio Const. art. I, § 10a(A) (explaining that all victims' constitutional rights are intended "to secure for victims justice and due process throughout the criminal and juvenile justice systems"); Ohio Const. art. I, § 10a(A)(6) (recognizing the right of victims, "except as authorized by section 10 of Article I of this constitution [guaranteeing defendant's constitutional rights], to refuse an interview, deposition, or other discovery request made by the accused or any person acting on behalf of the accused").

Privacy rights are protected by statute at various stages of the criminal justice process, including during production and discovery. See, e.g., Ohio Rev. Code Ann. § 2930.07(A) (providing that "[i]f the prosecutor in a case determines that there are reasonable grounds for the victim in a case to be apprehensive regarding acts or threats of violence or intimidation by the defendant or alleged juvenile offender in the case or at the defendant's or alleged juvenile offender's direction against the victim, the victim's family, or the

victim's representative, the prosecutor may file a motion with the court requesting that the court issue an order specifying that the victim and other witnesses in the case not be compelled in any phase of the criminal or delinquency proceeding to give testimony that would disclose the victim's or victim's representative's address, place of employment, or similar identifying fact without the victim's or victim's representative's consent"; and further providing that "[t]he court shall hold a hearing on the motion in chambers, and a court reporter shall make a record of the proceeding"); Ohio Rev. Code Ann. § 2930.07(B) (providing that if the court "orders that the victim's or victim's representative's address, telephone number, place of employment, or other identifying fact shall be confidential, the court files or documents shall not contain that information unless it is used to identify the location of the crime or specified delinquent act[,]" and that "[t]he hearing shall be recorded, and the court shall order the transcript sealed"); Ohio Rev. Code Ann. § 2907.10(A)(1) (prohibiting "peace officer[s], prosecutor[s], or other public official[s]" from "ask[ing] or requir[ing] a victim of an alleged sex offense to submit to a polygraph examination as a condition for proceeding with the investigation of the alleged sex offense").

For information about victims' privacy protections when persons attempt to access their personal information through alternate means, such as through a public records request, *see* "Select Confidentiality Laws" section below.

## SELECT CONFIDENTIALITY LAWS

## What are key confidentiality rights and/or protections in Ohio?

Ohio statutes provide victims with protection from disclosure of confidential or otherwise private information pursuant to public records' requests. For example, the Address Confidentiality Program was created to protect the confidentiality of a victim-applicant's address who "fears for the safety of the applicant, a member of the applicant's household, or the minor, incompetent, or ward on whose behalf the application is made because the applicant, household member, minor, incompetent, or ward is a victim of domestic violence, menacing by stalking, human trafficking, trafficking in persons, rape, or sexual battery." Ohio Rev. Code Ann. § 111.42(A)(4). Ohio statutes exempt from the definition of "public record" any "confidential name, address, and other personally identifiable information of a program participant in the address confidentiality program established under sections 111.41 to 111.47 of the Revised Code, including the contents of any application for absent voter's ballots, absent voter's ballot identification envelope statement of voter, or provisional ballot affirmation completed by a program participant who has a confidential voter registration record, and records or portions of records pertaining to that program that identify the number of program participants that reside within a precinct,

ward, township, municipal corporation, county, or any other geographic area smaller than the state." Ohio Rev. Code Ann. § 149.43(A)(1)(ee).

Crime victims in Ohio have other statutory-based confidentiality protections with respect to public records. For example, Ohio statute explicitly exempts the following categories of records from the definition of "public record": (1) medical records, Ohio Rev. Code Ann. § 149.43(A)(1)(a); (2) confidential law enforcement investigatory records, Ohio Rev. Code Ann. § 149.43(A)(1)(h); and (3) "[p]rotected health information, as defined in 45 C.F.R. 160.103, that is in a claim for payment for a health care product, service, or procedure, as well as any other health claims data in another document that reveals the identity of an individual who is the subject of the data or could be used to reveal that individual's identity[;]" Ohio Rev. Code Ann. § 149.43(A)(1)(hh). "Confidential law enforcement investigatory record" is defined to "mean[] any record that pertains to a law enforcement matter of a criminal, quasi-criminal, civil, or administrative nature, but only to the extent that the release of the record would create a high probability of disclosure of" inter alia, "[i]nformation that would endanger the life or physical safety of law enforcement personnel, a crime victim, a witness, or a confidential information source." Ohio Rev. Code Ann. § 149.43(A)(2)(d). For purposes of the public records' law, the term "medical records" is defined to "mean[] any document or combination of documents, except births, deaths, and the fact of admission to or discharge from a hospital, that pertains to the medical history, diagnosis, prognosis, or medical condition of a patient and that is generated and maintained in the process of medical treatment." Ohio Rev. Code Ann. § 149.43(A)(3).

Ohio statute explicitly exempts from the definition of "public records" the "[r]estricted portions of a body-worn camera or dashboard camera recording." Ohio Rev. Code Ann. § 149.43(A)(1)(ii). "Restricted portions of a body-worn camera or dashboard camera recording" is defined to "mean[] any visual or audio portion of a body-worn camera or dashboard camera recording that shows, communicates, or discloses[,]" among other things: "(a) [t]he image or identity of a child or information that could lead to the identification of a child who is a primary subject of the recording when the law enforcement agency knows or has reason to know the person is a child based on the law enforcement agency's records or the content of the recording; (b) [t]he death of a person or a deceased person's body, unless the death was caused by a peace officer or, subject to division (H)(1) of this section, the consent of the decedent's executor or administrator has been obtained; (c) [t]he death of a peace officer, firefighter, paramedic, or other first responder, occurring while the decedent was engaged in the performance of official duties, unless, subject to division (H)(1) of this section, the consent of the decedent's executor or administrator has been obtained; (d) [g]rievous bodily harm, unless the injury was effected by a peace officer or, subject to division (H)(1) of this section, the consent of the injured person or the injured person's guardian has been obtained; (e) [a]n act of severe violence against a person that results in serious physical harm to the person, unless the act and injury was effected by a peace officer or, subject to division (H)(1) of this section, the consent of the injured person or the injured person's guardian has been obtained; (f) [g]rievous bodily harm to a peace officer, firefighter, paramedic, or other first responder, occurring while the injured person was engaged in the performance of official duties, unless, subject to division (H)(1) of this section, the consent of the injured person or the injured person's guardian has been obtained; (g) [a]n act of severe violence resulting in serious physical harm against a peace officer, firefighter, paramedic, or other first responder, occurring while the injured person was engaged in the performance of official duties, unless, subject to division (H)(1) of this section, the consent of the injured person or the injured person's guardian has been obtained; (h) [a] person's nude body, unless, subject to division (H)(1) of this section, the person's consent has been obtained; (i) [p]rotected health information, the identity of a person in a health care facility who is not the subject of a law enforcement encounter, or any other information in a health care facility that could identify a person who is not the subject of a law enforcement encounter; (j) [i]nformation that could identify the . . . victim of a sex offense, menacing by stalking, or domestic violence; [and] (k) [i]nformation, that does not constitute a confidential law enforcement investigatory record, that could identify a person who provides sensitive or confidential information to a law enforcement agency when the disclosure of the person's identity or the information provided could reasonably be expected to threaten or endanger the safety or property of the person or another person[;]" Ohio Rev. Code Ann. § 149.43(17)(a)-(k).

With respect to body-worn and dashboard camera recordings, Ohio law further provides that "[a]ny portion of a body-worn camera or dashboard camera recording described in divisions (A)(17)(b) to (h) of this section may be released by consent of the subject of the recording or a representative of that person, as specified in those divisions, only if either of the following applies: (a) The recording will not be used in connection with any probable or pending criminal proceedings; (b) The recording has been used in connection with a criminal proceeding that was dismissed or for which a judgment has been entered pursuant to Rule 32 of the Rules of Criminal Procedure, and will not be used again in connection with any probable or pending criminal proceedings." Ohio Rev. Code Ann. § 149.43(H)(1)(a)-(b).

Crime victims also have confidentiality protections with respect to public records' requests for records that include depictions of them in addition to those in body-worn and dashboard camera recordings. Ohio Rev. Code Ann. § 149.43(A)(1)(ii). This is so because Ohio statute exempts from the definition of public record "[a]ny depiction by photograph, film, videotape, or printed or digital image under either of the following circumstances: (i) [t]he depiction is that of a victim of an offense the release of which would be, to a reasonable person of ordinary sensibilities, an offensive and objectionable intrusion into the victim's expectation of bodily privacy and integrity[;]" or "(ii) [t]he depiction captures or depicts the victim of a sexually oriented offense, as defined in section 2950.01 of the Revised Code, at the actual occurrence of that offense." Ohio Rev. Code Ann. § 149.43(A)(1)(ii)(i)-(ii).

### SELECT PRIVILEGE LAWS

## What are key privileges in Ohio?

Victims in Ohio have a number of privileges they can assert to prevent disclosure of their private communications with counselors, social workers, doctors and others. *See, e.g.*, Ohio Rev. Code Ann. § 2317.02(G)(1) (recognizing the following privileges: (1) licensed professional clinical counselor-client; (2) licensed professional counselor-client; (3) social worker-client; (4) independent social worker-client; (5) marriage and family therapist-client; (6) independent marriage and family therapist-client; and (7) school guidance counselor-student/client); Ohio Rev. Code Ann. § 2317.02(B)(1) (recognizing a physician-patient, dentist-patient and advance practice registered nurse-patient privilege).

School guidance counselor, licensed professional clinical counselor, licensed professional counselor, social worker, independent social worker, marriage and family therapist, independent marriage and family therapist privileges: "The following persons shall not testify in certain respects: . . . . A school guidance counselor who holds a valid educator license from the state board of education as provided for in section 3319.22 of the Revised Code, a person licensed under Chapter 4757. of the Revised Code as a licensed professional clinical counselor, licensed professional counselor, social worker, independent social worker, marriage and family therapist or independent marriage and family therapist, or registered under Chapter 4757. of the Revised Code as a social work assistant concerning a confidential communication received from a client in that relation or the person's advice to a client unless" certain circumstances apply, including that "[t]he communication or advice indicates clear and present danger to the client or other persons[;] . . . [t]he client gives express consent to the testimony[;]" or "[t]he court in camera determines that the information communicated by the client is not germane to the counselor-client, marriage and family therapist-client, or social worker-client relationship." Ohio Rev. Code Ann. § 2317.02(G)(1)(a)-(b), (e).

Physician-patient and nurse-patient privileges: "The following persons shall not testify in certain respects: . . . . A physician, advanced practice registered nurse, or dentist concerning a communication made to the physician, advanced practice registered nurse, or dentist by a patient in that relation or the advice of a physician, advanced practice registered nurse, or dentist given to a patient, except as otherwise provided in this division, division (B)(2), and division (B)(3) of this section, and except that, if the patient is deemed by section 2151.421 of the Revised Code to have waived any testimonial privilege under this division, the physician or advanced practice registered nurse may be compelled to testify on the same subject." Ohio Rev. Code Ann. § 2317.02(B)(1). For purposes of this privilege, "communication' means acquiring, recording, or transmitting any information, in any manner, concerning any facts, opinions, or statements necessary to enable a physician,

advanced practice registered nurse, or dentist to diagnose, treat, prescribe, or act for a patient. A 'communication' may include, but is not limited to, any medical or dental, office, or hospital communication such as a record, chart, letter, memorandum, laboratory test and results, x-ray, photograph, financial statement, diagnosis, or prognosis." Ohio Rev. Code Ann. § 2317.02(B)(5)(a).

## **SELECT DEFINITIONS**

## "Victim"

Under the Ohio Constitution, "victim' means a person against whom the criminal offense or delinquent act is committed or who is directly and proximately harmed by the commission of the offense or act. The term 'victim' does not include the accused or a person whom the court finds would not act in the best interests of a deceased, incompetent, minor, or incapacitated victim." Ohio Const. art. I, § 10a(D).

Under Ohio Statutes, Chapter 2930 (Rights of Victims of Crimes), "victim" is defined to "mean[] either of the following: (1) A person who is identified as the victim of a crime or specified delinquent act in a police report or in a complaint, indictment, or information that charges the commission of a crime and that provides the basis for the criminal prosecution or delinquency proceeding and subsequent proceedings to which this chapter makes reference. (2) A person who receives injuries as a result of a vehicle, streetcar, trackless trolley, aquatic device, or aircraft accident that is proximately caused by a violation described in division (A)(3) of this section or a motor vehicle accident that is proximately caused by a violation described in division (A)(4) of this section and who receives medical treatment as described in division (A)(3) or (4) of this section, whichever is applicable." Ohio Rev. Code Ann. § 2930.01(H).

## Key terms relating to the Address Confidentiality Program.

For purposes of Ohio Statute, section 111.42 (Application to become participant in address confidentiality program; certification), key terms are defined as follows:

- "(A) 'Application assistant' means an employee or volunteer at an agency or organization that serves victims of domestic violence, menacing by stalking, human trafficking, trafficking in persons, rape, or sexual battery who has received training and certification from the secretary of state to help individuals complete applications to be program participants.
- (B) 'Confidential address' means the address of a program participant's residence, school, institution of higher education, business, or place of employment, as specified on an

application to be a program participant or on a notice of change of address filed under section 111.42 of the Revised Code. A confidential address is not a public record under section 149.43 of the Revised Code, and shall be kept confidential.

- (C) 'Governmental entity' means the state, a political subdivision of the state, or any department, agency, board, commission, or other instrumentality of the state or a political subdivision of the state.
- (D) 'Guardian,' 'incompetent,' 'parent,' and 'ward' have the same meanings as in section 2111.01 of the Revised Code.
- (E) 'Human trafficking' has the same meaning as in section 2929.01 of the Revised Code.
- (F) 'Process' means judicial process and all orders, demands, notices, or other papers required or permitted by law to be served on a program participant.
- (G) 'Program participant' means a person who is certified by the secretary of state as a program participant under section 111.42 of the Revised Code.
- (H) 'Tier I sex offender/child-victim offender,' 'tier II sex offender/child-victim offender,' and 'tier III sex offender/child-victim offender' have the same meanings as in section 2950.01 of the Revised Code." Ohio Rev. Code Ann. § 111.41.

### Key terms in the context of privileges.

For purposes of Ohio Statute, section 2317.02(G)(1) (Privileged communications and acts), key terms are defined as follows:

- "(A) 'Practice of professional counseling' means rendering or offering to render to individuals, groups, organizations, or the general public a counseling service involving the application of clinical counseling principles, methods, or procedures to assist individuals in achieving more effective personal, social, educational, or career development and adjustment, including the diagnosis and treatment of mental and emotional disorders.
- (B) 'Clinical counseling principles, methods, or procedures' means an approach to counseling that emphasizes the counselor's role in systematically assisting clients through all of the following: assessing and analyzing background and current information, diagnosing mental and emotional disorders, exploring possible solutions, and developing and providing a treatment plan for mental and emotional adjustment or development. 'Clinical counseling principles, methods, or procedures' includes at least counseling, appraisal, consulting, and referral.
- (C) 'Practice of social work' means the application of social work theory and specialized knowledge of human development and behavior and social, economic, and cultural systems in directly assisting individuals, families, and groups in a clinical setting to improve or restore their capacity for social functioning, including counseling, the use of psychosocial interventions, and the use of social psychotherapy, which includes the diagnosis and treatment of mental and emotional disorders.
- (D) 'Accredited educational institution' means an institution accredited by a national or regional accrediting agency accepted by the board of regents.

- (E) 'Scope of practice' means the services, methods, and techniques in which and the areas for which a person licensed or registered under this chapter is trained and qualified.
- (F) 'Mental and emotional disorders' means those disorders that are classified in accepted nosologies such as the international classification of diseases and the diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders and in future editions of those nosologies.
- (G) 'Marriage and family therapy' means the diagnosis, evaluation, assessment, counseling, management and treatment of mental and emotional disorders, whether cognitive, affective, or behavioral, within the context of marriage and family systems, through the professional application of marriage and family therapies and techniques.
- (H) 'Practice of marriage and family therapy' means the diagnosis, treatment, evaluation, assessment, counseling, and management, of mental and emotional disorders, whether cognitive, affective or behavioral, within the context of marriage and family systems, to individuals, couples, and families, singly or in groups, whether those services are offered directly to the general public or through public or private organizations, for a fee, salary or other consideration through the professional application of marriage and family theories, therapies, and techniques, including, but not limited to psychotherapeutic theories, therapies and techniques that marriage and family therapists are educated and trained to perform.
- (I) 'Social functioning' means living up to the expectations that are made of an individual by the individual's own self, the immediate social environment, and by society at large. 'Social functioning' includes meeting basic needs of the individual and the individual's dependents, including physical aspects, personal fulfillment, emotional needs, and an adequate self-concept." Ohio Rev. Code Ann. § 4757.01.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See Office for Victims of Crime, Ethical Standards, Section I: Scope of Services, https://www.ovc.gov/model-standards/ethical standards 1.html.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Additional examples of system-based advocate titles, include: district attorney's office/state attorney's office advocates or victim-witness coordinators; law enforcement advocates; FBI victim specialists; U.S. attorney's office victim-witness coordinators; board of parole and post-prison supervision advocates; and post-conviction advocates.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Examples of community-based advocates, include: crisis hotline or helpline staff; rape crisis center staff; domestic violence shelter staff; campus advocates; and homicide support program staff.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> See Nat'l Crime Victim Law Inst., Refusing Discovery Requests of Privileged Materials Pretrial in Criminal Cases, NCVLI Violence Against Women Bulletin (Nat'l Crime Victim Law Inst., Portland, Or.), June 2011, at 3 n.30 (listing victims' constitutional and statutory rights to privacy and to dignity, respect or fairness).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> See, e.g., Whalen v. Roe, 429 U.S. 589, 599-600 (1977) (recognizing that the United States Constitution provides a right of personal privacy, which includes an "individual interest in avoiding disclosure of personal matters"); Roe v. Wade, 410 U.S. 113, 152-53 (1973) ("[A] right to personal privacy... does exist under the Constitution.").

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> There are different levels of privileges: absolute, absolute diluted and qualified. An absolute privilege is one in which only a victim has the right to authorize disclosure and the court can never order the information to be disclosed without the victim's consent. Absolute privileges are rare, however, because privileges are seen to run contrary to the truth finding function of courts.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> See, e.g., Ala. R. Evid. 503A(a)(7) ("Victim counselor means any employee or supervised volunteer of a victim counseling center or other agency, business, or organization that provides counseling to victims, who is not affiliated

with a law enforcement agency or prosecutor's office and whose duties include treating victims for any emotional or psychological condition resulting from a sexual assault or family violence."); Alaska Stat. Ann. § 18.66.250(5)(B) ("'[V]ictim counseling center' means a private organization, an organization operated by or contracted by a branch of the armed forces of the United States, or a local government agency that . . . is not affiliated with a law enforcement agency or a prosecutor's office[.]"; Haw. Rev. Stat. Ann. § 626-1, Rule 505.5(a)(6) ("A 'victim counseling program' is any activity of a domestic violence victims' program or a sexual assault crisis center that has, as its primary function, the counseling and treatment of sexual assault, domestic violence, or child abuse victims and their families, and that operates independently of any law enforcement agency, prosecutor's office, or the department of human services."; Ind. Code Ann. § 35-37-6-5(2) ("[V]ictim service provider' means a person . . . that is not affiliated with a law enforcement agency[.]"; Neb. Rev. Stat. Ann. § 29-4302(1) ("Advocate means any employee or supervised volunteer of a domestic violence and sexual assault victim assistance program or of any other agency, business, or organization that is not affiliated with a law enforcement or prosecutor's office whose primary purpose is assisting domestic violence and sexual assault victims[,]": N.M. Stat. Ann. § 31-25-2(E) ("'[V]ictim counselor' means any employee or supervised volunteer of a victim counseling center or other agency, business or organization that provides counseling to victims who is not affiliated with a law enforcement agency or the office of a district attorney[.]".

<sup>8</sup> Terms that inform the intersection of victim services and HIPPA, FERPA, FOIA or VOCA are "implied consent" and "waiver." "Informed consent" is defined as "1. [a] person's agreement to allow something to happen, made with full knowledge of the risks involved and the alternatives. For the legal profession, informed consent is defined in Model Rule of Professional Conduct 1.0(e)[;] [or] 2. [a] patient's knowing choice about a medical treatment or procedure, made after a physician or other healthcare provider discloses whatever information a reasonably prudent provider in the medical field community would give to a patient regarding the risks involved in the proposed treatment or procedure." *Informed consent*, Black's Law Dictionary (8<sup>th</sup> ed. 2004). "Waiver" is defined as "[t]he voluntary relinquishment or abandonment – express or implied – of a legal right or advantage . . . ." *Waiver*, Black's Law Dictionary (8<sup>th</sup> ed. 2004).

```
<sup>9</sup> School Resource Officers, School Law Enforcement Units, and the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA), https://studentprivacy.ed.gov/sites/default/files/resource_document/file/SRO_FAQs_2-5-19_0.pdf.

<sup>10</sup> Id.
```

www.ncvli.org • October 2019

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> *Id*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> *Id*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> Are law enforcement records considered education records?, https://studentprivacy.ed.gov/faq/are-law-enforcement-records-considered-education-records.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> *Id*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> Department of Justice Guide to the Freedom of Information Act, at 1, https://www.justice.gov/sites/default/files/oip/legacy/2014/07/23/exemption6.pdf. <sup>16</sup> *Id.* 

<sup>17</sup> Office for Victims of Crime, Crime Victims Fund,

https://www.ovc.gov/pubs/crimevictimsfundfs/intro.html#VictimAssist.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> *Id*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> *Id*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> Ethic, Merriam-webster.com, https://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/ethics (last visited July 31, 2019).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> Office for Victims of Crime, Purpose & Scope of The Standards, https://www.ovc.gov/model-standards/purpose and scope.html.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> Office for Victims of Crime, Purpose & Scope of The Standards, https://www.ovc.gov/model-standards/purpose\_and\_scope.html. Each of the five sections contain ethical standards and corresponding commentaries, explaining each standard in detail. For "Scope of Services," the ethical standards and their corresponding commentaries can be located at https://www.ovc.gov/model-standards/ethical\_standards\_1.html. For "Coordinating within the Community," the ethical standards and their corresponding commentaries can be located at https://www.ovc.gov/model-standards/ethical\_standards and their corresponding commentaries can be located at https://www.ovc.gov/model-standards/ethical\_standards\_3.html. For "Privacy, Confidentiality, Data Security and Assistive Technology," the ethical standards and their

corresponding commentaries can be located at https://www.ovc.gov/model-standards/ethical\_standards\_4.html. For "Administration and Evaluation," the ethical standard and the corresponding commentary can be located at https://www.ovc.gov/model-standards/ethical\_standards\_5.html.

- <sup>28</sup> See, e.g., Eakes v. Sexton, 592 F. App'x 422, 429 (6th Cir. 2014) (finding that "contrary to the district court's conclusion that the [state] prosecutor was not responsible for failing to disclose the Victim–Advocate report because the Advocate was located 'in a separate part of the District Attorney's office,' the prosecutor is in fact responsible for disclosing all Brady information in the possession of that office, such as the Victim–Advocate report, even if the prosecutor was unaware of the evidence prior to trial"); Commonwealth v. Liang, 747 N.E.2d 112, 114 (Mass. 2001) (concluding that "the notes of [prosecution-based] advocates are subject to the same discovery rules as the notes of prosecutors[,]" and "[t]o the extent that the notes contain material, exculpatory information . . . or relevant 'statements' of a victim or witness . . . the Commonwealth must disclose such information or statements to the defendant, in accordance with due process and the rules of criminal procedure").
- <sup>29</sup> Notably, for advocates/entities that receive VOCA funding, because this disclosure is "compelled by statutory or court mandate," it does not pursuant to statute, require a signed, written release from the victim. Nevertheless, if disclosure is required, VOCA requires that advocates make reasonable attempts to notify the victim affected by the disclosure and take whatever steps are necessary to protect their privacy and safety.
- <sup>30</sup> Defendant John Giglio was tried, convicted and sentenced for forgery related crimes. While Giglio's case was pending appeal, his attorney filed a motion for a new trial, claiming that there was newly discovered evidence that the key Government witness-"the only witness linking [Giglio] with the crime"- had been promised that he would not be prosecuted in exchange for his testimony. The defense attorney's motion was initially denied, but certiorari review was granted "to determine whether the evidence [that was] not disclosed . . . require[d] a new trial under the due process criteria of' cases, including Brady v. Maryland, 373 U.S. 83, 87 (1963), which "held that suppression of material evidence justifies a new trial" whether the prosecutor intended to withhold information or not. "An affidavit filed by the Government as part of its opposition to a new trial confirm[ed] [Giglio's] claim that a promise was made to [the key Government witness]" by the former Assistant United States Attorney "that [the witness] would not be prosecuted if he cooperated with the Government." This promise of leniency was made by the formerly assigned Assistant United States Attorney who did not handle the trial; and the Assistant United States Attorney who handled the trial was unaware of the promise. The Supreme Court held that nondisclosure of material evidence "is the responsibility of the prosecutor"-whether nondisclosure was intentional or not-and that such action is directly attributable to the Government. Addressing the topic of "turnover," principally, the Court explained that "[t]o the extent this places a burden on the large prosecution offices, procedures and regulations can be established to carry that burden and to [e]nsure communication of all relevant information on each case to every lawyer who deals with it." Giglio's conviction was reversed, and the case was remanded to the lower court.
- <sup>31</sup> This section addresses subpoenas directed to system-based advocates. For information concerning community-based advocates and subpoenas, please contact NCVLI for technical assistance.
- <sup>32</sup> Terminology for subpoenas varies from jurisdiction-to-jurisdiction. Common examples of subpoenas include: "subpoenas"; "subpoenas duces tecum"; "deposition subpoenas"; and "subpoenas ad testificandum." *See Subpoena*, Black's Law Dictionary (8th ed. 2004).
- <sup>33</sup> See Subpoena, Black's Law Dictionary (8th ed. 2004) (defining "subpoena" as "[a] writ commanding a person to appear before a court or other tribunal, subject to a penalty for failing to comply"); subpoena duces tecum, Black's Law Dictionary (8th ed. 2004) (defining "subpoena duces tecum" as "[a] subpoena ordering the witness to appear and to bring specified documents, records, or things"); deposition subpoena, Black's Law Dictionary (8th ed. 2004) (defining "deposition subpoena" as "1. [a] subpoena issued to summon a person to make a sworn statement in a time and place other than a trial[;] [and] 2. [i]n some jurisdictions, [this is referred to as] a subpoena duces tecum").
- <sup>34</sup> Attorney work product "is generally exempt from discovery or other compelled disclosure." *Work product,* Black's Law Dictionary (8th ed. 2004).

www.ncvli.org • October 2019

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> Office for Victims of Crime, Ethical Standards for Serving Victims & Survivors of Crime, https://www.ovc.gov/model-standards/ethical standards.html.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> See Weatherford v. Busey, 429 U.S. 545, 559 (1977).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> See United States v. Agers, 427 U.S. 97, 106-07 (1976)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> Strickler v. Greene, 527 U.S. 263, 280 (1999).

 $<sup>^{27}</sup>$  Ia