



## LAW ENFORCEMENT-BASED VICTIM SERVICES IN WYOMING: PRIVACY, PRIVILEGE AND CONFIDENTIALITY

### INTRODUCTION

Best practice in victim services is about facilitating victims' ability to exercise meaningful choices. This requires understanding and supporting the exercise of victims' rights, which are found in state constitutions, statutes, rules and policies. For victims' rights to be meaningful, both compliance with and enforcement of these rights is necessary. Compliance is the fulfillment of legal responsibilities to victims and making efforts to reduce willful, negligent or inadvertent failures to fulfill those legal responsibilities; enforcement is the pursuit, by a victim or someone on behalf of a victim, of a judicial or administrative order that either mandates compliance with victims' rights or provides remedies for violations of victims' rights laws.

In addition to understanding victims' rights, best practices in victim services require understanding one's legal and ethical obligations as an advocate with regard to victim privacy, confidentiality and privilege, and the scope of one's services. Informing victims—at the first or earliest possible contact with them—of their rights and the advocate's role, including limitations on that role, is critical to victims' ability to make informed decisions about whether and how to exercise their rights, as well as whether, what and how much to share with any particular service provider. In addition, advocates need to build and maintain relationships throughout the community in order to provide meaningful referrals to victim service providers with complementary roles when a victim needs the referral.

### USING THIS RESOURCE

This resource is designed to enhance victim services personnel's knowledge and understanding of the law governing crime victims' rights to privacy, confidentiality and privilege in Wyoming. It provides an overview of key concepts and excerpts of key legal citations that can help facilitate victims' meaningful choices regarding these rights. To keep this *Guide* as user-friendly as possible in light of the breadth, complexity and evolving nature of law, the *Guide* does not include all laws. It does not constitute legal advice, nor does it substitute for legal advice. This resource is best used together with its companion resource: *Select Victims' Rights - Wyoming*.

This draft publication was developed by the National Crime Victim Law Institute (NCVLI) under 2018-V3-GX-K049, awarded to the International Association of Chiefs of Police (IACP) by the Office for Victims of Crime, Office of Justice Programs, U.S. Department of Justice. The opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this draft publication are those of the contributors and do not necessarily represent the official position of the U.S. Department of Justice.

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

**Introduction**.....1

**Using This Resource** .....1

**Overview** .....3

    System-Based and Community-Based Advocates .....3

    Privacy, Confidentiality and Privilege .....4

    HIPAA, FERPA, VOCA, VAWA and FOIA .....8

    Ethical Code Relevant to Advocates .....12

*Brady v. Maryland*.....13

*Giglio v. United States* .....16

    Subpoena Considerations .....16

**Select Laws** .....18

    Privacy.....18

    Confidentiality.....20

    Privilege .....22

    Definitions.....25

## OVERVIEW

**What are the key similarities and differences between system-based and community-based advocates?****Key Takeaways**

- System-based advocates are typically employed by a law enforcement agency, prosecutor's office, corrections, or another governmental agency.
- Community-based advocates are typically employed by a nonprofit/non-governmental agency.
- The United States Supreme Court and state laws impose on the prosecutor's office—and by extension on other governmental agencies such as law enforcement—legal obligations to disclose information to the accused and their lawyer. These obligations are sometimes called *Brady* Obligations or Discovery Obligations.
- *Brady*/Discovery Obligations generally attach to system-based advocates, and these obligations can override an advocate's ability to keep something confidential. That means anything shared with a system-based advocate may have to be disclosed to law enforcement, prosecutors, and eventually the accused and their lawyer.
- Community-based advocates are generally not directly linked to a government actor, and therefore not subject to *Brady*/Discovery Obligations; this means that they can hold more things confidential, and depending on local law, may also be bound by privilege (which is an even stronger privacy protection than confidentiality).

**Discussion**

It is imperative that an advocate understands and communicates clearly—at the first encounter or earliest possible contact—whether one is a community-based or system-based advocate, the advocate's legal and ethical obligations with regard to privacy, confidentiality and privilege and the scope of the services that the advocate offers.<sup>1</sup> This information will assist the victim in understanding the role of the advocate and any limitations of that role regarding: (1) the services that the advocate can provide and (2) the privacy protections that exist regarding information shared with the advocate. Further, providing a clear explanation of the advocate's role to the victim will help the victim make informed decisions, build rapport and avoid misunderstandings.

While both system-based and community-based advocates serve victims and operate under a general ethical rule of confidentiality, there are significant differences between them. System-based advocates are typically employed by a law enforcement agency, office of the prosecuting attorney, corrections or another entity within the city, county, state or federal government. Titles for system-based advocates vary; for example, they can be called victim advocates, victim-witness coordinators or victim assistance personnel.<sup>2</sup> Because system-based advocates are typically a component of a government agency or program, a primary focus of their work is assisting victims in their interactions with the system, and they will typically be able to provide services to the victims during the pendency of the investigation,

prosecution and post-conviction legal aspects of a case. In addition, this placement as part of a government agency or program generally means that system-based advocates are subject to the *Brady* disclosure obligations (*see Brady v. Maryland* Section below for additional information) and generally, their communications with victims are not protected by privilege.

By contrast, community-based advocates are generally not directly linked to any government actor or agency. As such, they are not subject to *Brady*; generally, can assist victims even if a crime has not been reported; can assist before, during and after a criminal case; can provide holistic services aimed at victims' broad needs; and, depending on the jurisdiction's laws and funding source, can maintain privileged communications with victims.<sup>3</sup>

Because each type of advocate has different duties and protections that they can offer victims, knowledge of and partnerships between them is an integral part of facilitating meaningful victim choice and helping victims access holistic services.

### **What are privacy, confidentiality and privilege? Why do the differences matter?**

#### **Key Takeaways**

- Privacy is the broad right that allows one to control the sharing of personal information.
- Many jurisdictions have state constitutional and statutory protections for affording victims the right to privacy, including explicit rights to privacy and the broader stated rights to be treated with fairness, dignity and respect. A federal Constitutional right to privacy also exists.
- Confidentiality is a form of privacy protection; it is the legal and ethical duty to keep private the victim-client's information that was learned in confidence. The duty of confidentiality is found in laws and regulations that govern particular professions (e.g., community-based advocates and licensed mental health professionals) as well as certain types of information (e.g., health and educational records). In addition, certain funding sources (such as VOCA and VAWA) contain confidentiality requirements that govern anyone receiving the funds.
- Courts have the authority to require disclosure of a victim's confidential information when certain conditions are met. Circumstances that may compel disclosure of victims' otherwise confidential information include if the information is shared with a mandatory reporter and in the case of system-based advocates, if the information falls within the state's required disclosures to defendant pursuant to *Brady*/Discovery Obligations.
- Privilege is another privacy protection and is stronger than confidentiality. Privileges are defined by statute and rule and protect communications between victims and certain people, such as doctors, psychotherapists/counselors, attorneys and in some jurisdictions, victim advocates. Key terms in the law may be defined in a way to limit the privilege. For example, among those jurisdictions that

recognize an advocate-victim privilege, the term “advocate” is often narrow (e.g., only sexual assault advocates). Disclosure of privileged communications is prohibited unless the victim consents.

- Because privacy is so critical to victims it is important to understand what level of privacy protection can be afforded to a victim with whom one works and to communicate that BEFORE the victim shares any information.

### Discussion

#### Privacy

*“Privacy” is a fundamental right, essential to victim agency, autonomy and dignity, which—among other things—permits boundaries that limit who has access to our communications and information.*

Privacy can be understood as the ability to control the sharing of personal information. See *Commonwealth ex rel. Platt v. Platt*, 404 A.2d 410, 429 (Pa. Super. Ct. 1979) (“The essence of privacy is no more, and certainly no less, than the freedom of the individual to pick and choose for [themselves] the time and circumstances under which, and most importantly, the extent to which, his attitudes, beliefs, and behavior and opinions are to be shared with or withheld from others.”). For many crime victims, maintaining privacy in their personal information and communications is vitally important. In fact, maintaining privacy is so important that some victims refrain from accessing critical legal, medical or counseling services without an assurance that treatment professionals will protect their personal information from disclosure. Understanding this and wishing as a matter of public policy to encourage access to services when needed, federal and state legislatures and professional licensing bodies have created frameworks of laws and regulations that help protect the information victims share with professionals from further dissemination. To this end, every jurisdiction has adopted statutory or constitutional victims’ rights; some jurisdictions explicitly protect victims’ rights to privacy, or to be treated with dignity, respect or fairness.<sup>4</sup> Victims also have a federal Constitutional right to privacy.<sup>5</sup>

In addition to the broad rights to privacy that exist, privacy protections generally come in two forms: “confidentiality” and “privilege.” Professionals who work with victims should understand each concept.

#### Confidentiality

*“Confidentiality” is a legal and ethical duty not to disclose the victim-client’s information learned in confidence.*

As part of accessing services, victims frequently share highly sensitive personal information with professionals. A victim’s willingness to share this information may be premised on the professionals’ promise to not disclose it. The promise to hold in confidence the victim’s information is governed by the professional’s ethical duties, regulatory framework and/or by other various laws. Breaking the promise may carry sanctions. The promise not to

disclose information that is shared in confidence—as well as the legal framework that recognizes this promise—are what qualifies this information as “confidential.”

Key aspects of confidential communications are that: (1) they are made with the expectation of privacy; (2) they are not accessible to the general public; (3) there may or may not be legal requirements that the recipient keep the information private; and (4) there may be a professional/ethical obligation to keep the information private.

Professional confidentiality obligations may be imposed by one’s profession, e.g., advocate ethics; social worker ethics; attorney ethics; medical provider ethics; and mental health counselor ethics. In addition, certain laws may have confidentiality provisions that are tied to funding. If an entity receives such funds, then it is bound by confidentiality or risks losing funding. Examples of laws that impose confidentiality requirements include the: (1) Victims of Crime Act (VOCA), 28 C.F.R. § 94.115; (2) Violence Against Women Act (VAWA), 34 U.S.C. § 12291(b)(2)(A)–(B); and (3) Family Violence Prevention and Services Act (FVPSA), 42 U.S.C. § 10406 (c)(5)(B). For example, VAWA (Section 3), VOCA and FVPSA regulations prohibit sharing personally identifying information about victims without informed, written and reasonably time-limited consent. VAWA and VOCA also prohibit disclosure of individual information without written consent. In addition, depending on the types of victim information at issue, other statutes may impose additional restrictions, including the Federal Educational Rights & Privacy Act (FERPA), 20 U.S.C. § 1232g (protections governing the handling of education records); the Health Insurance Portability & Accountability Act (HIPAA), 42 U.S.C. § 1320d et seq. (protections governing the handling of health records); and the Stored Communications Act (SCA), 18 U.S.C. § 2701 et seq. (protections governing electronic communications and transactions records).

When providing services, professionals should discuss with victims the consequences of sharing information before information is shared. These consequences may include the: (1) inability to “take back” a disclosure; (2) lack of control over the information once released; and (3) risk of the accused accessing the information. In addition, even when laws appear to prohibit disclosure, there are often exceptions that require disclosure, for instance in response to court orders or valid subpoenas. These limits should be explained to a victim. For example, a court may make a determination that an accused’s interests outweigh the confidentiality protection afforded by a law and order the professional to disclose the victim’s private information. Although a victim can be assured that a professional may not ethically disclose her confidential information unless legally required to do so, it is important that a victim understand that courts have the authority to require a professional to break the promise of confidentiality when certain conditions are met. Other circumstances that may compel disclosure of victims’ otherwise confidential information include if the information is shared with a mandatory reporter of elder or child abuse and if the information falls within the state’s required disclosures to defendant pursuant to the United States Supreme Court case *Brady v. Maryland*.

Thus, although the basic rule of confidentiality is that a victim’s information is not shared outside an agency unless the victim gives permission to do so, it is important to inform

victims before they share information whether, when and under what circumstances information may be further disclosed.

### Privilege

*“Privilege” is a legal right of the victim not to disclose—or to prevent the disclosure of—certain information in connection with court and other proceedings.*

Legislatures throughout the country have recognized that the effective practice of some professions requires even stronger legal protection of confidential communications between the professional and client. This recognition has resulted in the passage of laws that prevent courts from forcing these professionals to break the promise of confidentiality no matter how relevant the information is to the issues in the legal proceeding. This additional protection is a “privilege”—a legal right not to disclose certain information, even in the face of a valid subpoena.<sup>6</sup> Key aspects of privileged communications are that: (1) they are specially protected, often by statute; (2) disclosure without permission of the privilege holder (*i.e.*, the victim) is prohibited; (3) they are protected from disclosure in court or other proceedings; (4) the protections may be waived only by the holder of the privilege (*i.e.*, the victim); and (5) some exceptions may apply. Examples of communications that may be protected by privilege depending on jurisdiction include: (1) spousal; (2) attorney-client; (3) clergy-penitent; (4) psychotherapist/counselor-patient; (5) doctor-patient; and (6) advocate-victim. Jurisdictions that recognize a given privilege may narrowly define terms, thereby limiting its applications. For example, among the jurisdictions that recognize an advocate-victim privilege, many define the term “advocate” to exclude those who are system-based (*i.e.*, affiliated with a law-enforcement agency or a prosecutor’s office).<sup>7</sup>

### Understanding the Differences

Because maintaining a victim’s control over whether and how to disclose personal information is so important and because community-based and system-based advocates can offer different levels of protection regarding communications, every professional must know whether their communications with a victim are confidential or privileged, as well as how courts have interpreted the scope of each protection. This information should be shared with victims in advance of information disclosure. To do otherwise may provide victim-clients with a false sense of security regarding their privacy and inflict further harm if their personal information is unexpectedly disclosed.



**What are HIPAA, FERPA, VOCA, VAWA and FOIA, and why are these relevant to my work as an advocate?<sup>8</sup>****Key Takeaways**

- Federal and many state laws protect certain types of information from disclosure. These laws generally cover medical, therapy and other behavioral health records, educational records and certain advocacy records.
- HIPAA—the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act—requires the protection and confidential handling of protected health information (PHI). This is important because although it permits release of PHI in response to a valid court order, no such release may be made in response to a subpoena or other request except under very specific circumstances.
- FERPA—the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act—protects the privacy of student education records, as well as any personally identifiable information in those records. Although the Department of Education provides that law enforcement records are not education records, personally identifiable information collected from education records and shared with law enforcement remain protected from disclosure.
- Victim assistance programs that receive funding under either VOCA (the Victims of Crime Act of 1984) or VAWA (the Violence Against Women Act) are mandated to protect crime victims' confidentiality and privacy subject to limited exceptions, such as mandatory reporting or statutory or court mandates. Even if disclosure of individual client information is required by statute or court order, recipients of VOCA or VAWA funding must provide notice to victims affected by any required disclosure of their information, and take steps to protect the privacy and safety of the victims.
- Open records' laws—also commonly referred to as public records' laws or sunshine laws—permit any person to request government documents and, if the government refuses to turn them over, to file a lawsuit to compel disclosure. Every state and the federal government have such laws (the federal law is known as FOIA, the Freedom of Information Act), which carry a presumption of disclosure. That means that all government records are presumed open for public inspection unless an exemption applies. Many exemptions from disclosure exist, including for some types of law enforcement records. All advocates should understand their jurisdiction's open records' laws, especially as they relate to exemptions that may apply to law enforcement and other victim-related records.

**Discussion**

**HIPAA:** Federal law—as well as state law in many jurisdictions—provides crime victims with different forms of protections from disclosure of their personal and confidential information. This includes protections against the disclosure of medical and/or therapy and other behavioral health records without the victim's consent. HIPAA—codified at 42 U.S.C. § 1320d et seq. and 45 C.F.R. § 164.500 et seq.—is the acronym for the Health



Insurance Portability and Accountability Act, a federal law passed in 1996. HIPAA does a variety of things, but most relevantly, it requires the protection and confidential handling of protected health information (PHI). This is important because although it permits release of PHI in response to a valid court order, no such release may be made in response to a subpoena or other request unless one of the following circumstances is met:

1. The entity must receive “satisfactory assurance” from “the party seeking the information that reasonable efforts have been made by such party to ensure that the individual who is the subject of the protected health information that has been requested has been given notice of the request[,]” 45 C.F.R. § 164.512(e)(1)(ii)(A).  
-or-
2. The entity must receive “satisfactory assurance” from the “party seeking the information that reasonable efforts have been made by such party to secure a qualified protective order” that meets certain requirements, detailed in subsection (iv), 45 C.F.R. § 164.512(e)(1)(ii)(B).

Advocates may wish to inform victims that they may proactively contact their medical providers, informing them that the victims are asserting privilege and other legal protections in their records, and requesting that these providers: (1) give them prompt notice of any request for the victims’ medical records; (2) refuse to disclose the records pursuant to any such request without first receiving a valid court order; and (3) ensure that no medical records are released without first permitting the victims to file a challenge to their release. Advocates who work for or with community-based organizations—including organizations that provide general mental health services as well as those that serve domestic violence or sexual assault victims—should advise victims about the possibility of asserting HIPAA protections if facing a request for their records.

FERPA: The Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA)—codified at 20 U.S.C. § 1232g—“is a federal law that protects the privacy of student education records, and the [personally identifiable information] contained therein, maintained by educational agencies or institutions or by a party acting for the agencies or institutions.”<sup>9</sup> FERPA applies to those agencies and institutions that receive funding under any U.S. Department of Education program.<sup>10</sup> “Private schools at the elementary and secondary levels generally do not receive funds from the Department [of Education] and are, therefore, not subject to FERPA, but may be subject to other data privacy laws such as HIPAA.”<sup>11</sup>

Protections afforded by FERPA include the right of parents or eligible students to provide a signed and dated, written consent that clearly identifies which education records or personally identifiable information may be disclosed by the educational agency or institution; the person who may receive such records or information; and the purpose for the disclosure prior to disclosure of an education record or personally identifiable information, except in limited circumstances such as health or safety emergencies.<sup>12</sup>

Notably, while the Department of Education provides that law enforcement records are not education records, “personally identifiable information [collected] from education records, which the school shares with the law enforcement unit, do not lose their protected status as

education records just because they are shared with the law enforcement unit.”<sup>13</sup> Thus, law enforcement has a duty to understand and comply with FERPA when drafting police reports, supplemental reports and, generally, sharing or relaying information.

It is important that advocates have an understanding of FERPA as well as other federal laws, state laws and local policies that address student privacy in education records as eligible students or parents may be afforded privacy protections in addition to FERPA. For example, “the education records of students who are children with disabilities are not only protected by FERPA but also by the confidentiality of information provisions in the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA).”<sup>14</sup>

VOCA and VAWA: The Victims of Crime Act of 1984 (VOCA)—codified at 34 U.S.C. §§ 20101 to 20111—established the Crime Victims Fund (the Fund), which is managed by the Office for Victims of Crime, Office of Justice Programs, U.S. Department of Justice. The Fund is financed by, *inter alia*, fines and penalties from persons convicted of crimes against the United States as opposed to by tax dollars.<sup>15</sup> The Fund supports victim assistance programs that offer direct victim services and crime victim compensation.<sup>16</sup> Examples of direct services are crisis intervention, emergency shelters or transportation, counseling and criminal justice advocacy; and crime victim compensation programs that cover expenses incurred as a result of the crime.<sup>17</sup>

The Violence Against Women Act (VAWA)—enacted in 1994 and reauthorized in 2000, 2005 and 2013—created an array of federal protections for victims of crimes, including domestic violence, sexual assault and stalking. Additionally, VAWA provided funding for services and programs to combat violent crimes against women. VAWA funds are administered by the Office on Violence Against Women (OVW), U.S. Department of Justice.

Agencies that receive VOCA or VAWA funding are mandated to protect crime victims’ confidentiality and privacy subject to limited exceptions, such as mandatory reporting or statutory or court mandates. Specifically, state administering agencies and subrecipients of VOCA funding, are mandated “to the extent permitted by law, [to] reasonably protect the confidentiality and privacy of [victims] receiving services . . . and shall not disclose, reveal, or release, except . . . [in limited circumstances:] (1) [a]ny personally identifying information or individual information collected in connection with VOCA-funded services requested, utilized, or denied, regardless of whether such information has been encoded, encrypted, hashed, or otherwise protected; or (2) [i]ndividual client information, without the informed, written, reasonably time-limited consent of the person about whom information is sought . . . .” 28 C.F.R. § 94.115(a)(1)–(2). Agencies that receive VAWA funding are subject to nearly identical duties to protect crime victims’ confidentiality and privacy subject to limited exceptions. *See* 34 U.S.C. § 12291(b)(2).

Even if disclosure of individual client information is required by statute or court order, state administering agencies and sub-recipients’ privacy and confidentiality obligations owed to crime victims do not disappear. State administering agencies and subrecipients of VOCA funds “shall make reasonable attempts to provide notice to victims affected by the

disclosure of the information, and take reasonable steps necessary to protect the privacy and safety of the persons affected by the release of the information.” 28 C.F.R. § 94.115(b). VAWA imposes similar requirements on recipients of funding. *See* 34 U.S.C. § 12291(b)(2)(C) (“If release of information . . . is compelled by statutory or court mandate[,] . . . grantees and subgrantees shall make reasonable attempts to provide notice to victims affected by the disclosure of information[] and . . . shall take steps necessary to protect the privacy and safety of the persons affected by the release of the information.”). VOCA also mandates that none of the protections afforded to victims be circumvented. For example, a crime victim may neither be required to release personally identifying information in exchange for services nor be required to provide personally identifying information for recording or reporting purposes. 28 C.F.R. § 94.115(d).

It is important that advocates are aware if their positions and/or offices are subject to VOCA’s and VAWA’s mandates regarding victims’ confidentiality and privacy protections and if so, understand how these mandates interact with disclosure obligations.

FOIA: Open records’ laws—also commonly referred to as public records’ laws or sunshine laws—permit any person to request government documents and, if the government refuses to turn them over, to file a lawsuit to compel disclosure. Every state and the federal government have such laws, which carry a presumption of disclosure, meaning that all government records are presumed open for public inspection unless an exemption applies.

The federal open records’ law, known as the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA or the “Act”), 5 U.S.C. §552, was enacted in 1966. Similar to its state counterparts, FOIA provides for the legally enforceable right of any person to obtain access to federal agency records subject to the Act, except to the extent that any portions of such records are protected from public disclosure by one of the nine exemptions. Three such exemptions, Exemptions 6, 7(C) and 7(F) protect different types of personal information in federal records from disclosure. Exemption 6 “protects information about individuals in ‘personnel and medical files and similar files’ when the disclosure of such information ‘would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy.’”<sup>18</sup> Exemption 7(C) “is limited to information compiled for law enforcement purposes, and protects personal information when disclosure ‘could reasonably be expected to constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy.’” Under both exemptions, “the concept of privacy not only encompasses that which is inherently private, but also includes an ‘individual’s control of information concerning [his/her/their] person.’”<sup>19</sup> Exemption 7(F), which also applies to law enforcement records, exempts records that contain information that “could reasonably be expected to endanger the life or physical safety of any individual.”

Similar to FOIA, state open records’ laws contain numerous exemptions, including for some types of law enforcement records (for example, prohibitions on disclosing identifying information of victims’ and witnesses’ generally or of child-victims and/or victims of certain crimes). Advocates should have an understanding of their jurisdiction’s open records’ laws, especially as they relate to exemptions from disclosure that may be afforded to law enforcement and other victim-related records within their office’s possession. Jurisdiction-specific victims’ rights laws—including rights to privacy and protection—also

provide grounds for challenging public records' requests for victims' private information.

### **Are there ethical standards relevant to my work as an advocate?**

#### **Key Takeaways**

- Advocates should know what ethical standards apply to their work with victims.
- Law enforcement agencies should develop a code of ethics specific to victim services personnel or, at a minimum, expand the scope of existing codes of ethics to include them.

#### **Discussion**

Yes, there are ethical standards—or “principles of conduct”—that guides victim advocates in their work.<sup>20</sup> Although there is no formal regulatory board that oversees victim assistance programs, the *Model Standards for Serving Victims & Survivors of Crime (Model Standards)* was created by the National Victim Assistance Standards Consortium with guidance from experts across the nation “to promote the competency and ethical integrity of victim service providers, in order to enhance their capacity to provide high-quality, consistent responses to crime victims and to meet the demands facing the field today.”<sup>21</sup>

The *Model Standards* cover three areas: (1) Program Standards for Serving Victims & Survivors of Crime; (2) Competency Standards for Serving Victims & Survivors of Crime; and (3) Ethical Standards for Serving Victims & Survivors of Crime.

The third area—Ethical Standards for Serving Victims & Survivors of Crime—contains “ethical expectations” of victim service providers that are “based on core values” in the field and are intended to serve as guidelines for providers in the course of their work. The Ethical Standards are comprised of five sections:

- (1) Scope of Services;
- (2) Coordinating within the Community;
- (3) Direct Services;
- (4) Privacy, Confidentiality, Data Security and Assistive Technology; and
- (5) Administration and Evaluation.<sup>22</sup>

Notably, “[p]rofessionals who are trained in another field (*e.g.*, psychology, social work) but are engaging in victim services will [also] abide by their own professional codes of ethics. If th[ose] ethical standards establish a higher standard of conduct than is required by law or another professional ethic, victim assistance providers should meet the higher ethical standard. If ethical standards appear to conflict with the requirements of law or another professional ethic, providers should take steps to resolve the conflict in a responsible manner.”<sup>23</sup>

Many law enforcement agencies have established their own code of ethics. Often, these codes of ethics are developed to guide the behavior of sworn personnel and may not encompass the role of victim services. Agencies are encouraged to develop a code of ethics

specific to victim services personnel or, at a minimum, expand the scope of existing codes of ethics to include them.<sup>24</sup>

**What is the difference between discovery and production and how does this relate to the Supreme Court’s decision in *Brady v. Maryland*?**

**Key Takeaways**

- In a criminal case, the term “discovery” refers to the exchange of information between parties to the case—the prosecutor and defendant. The term “production” refers to the defendant’s more limited right to obtain information from nonparties, such as victims. Sometimes the term “discovery” is used to describe the parties’ requests for information and records from nonparties, but this is an imprecise use of the word as it confuses the two ideas.
- In *Brady v. Maryland* the United States Supreme Court announced a rule, and state laws have adopted it also, that impose on the prosecutor’s office—and by extension on other governmental agencies such as law enforcement—legal obligations to disclose information to the accused and their lawyer even if they do not ask for it. These obligations are sometimes called *Brady* Obligations or Discovery Obligations.
- Pursuant to these obligations, the prosecutor is only constitutionally required to disclose information that is exculpatory and material to the issue of guilt, and which is within the custody or control of the prosecutor.
- Beyond that material to which a defendant is constitutionally entitled under *Brady*, state statute or procedural rule may entitle a criminal defendant to additional discovery materials.
- If records are not properly in the possession or control of the prosecutor, a defendant can only try to obtain them through their more limited right of production by seeking a subpoena pursuant to the jurisdiction’s statutes and rules governing production of documents from a nonparty.
- Federal and state courts have found that prosecution-based victim advocates are part of the “prosecution team” for *Brady* purposes. Therefore, *Brady*/Discovery Obligations generally attach to system-based advocates, and these obligations can override an advocate’s ability to keep something confidential. That means anything shared with a system-based advocate may have to be disclosed to the accused and their lawyer.
- Victims should be informed at the outset that disclosure requirements—imposed by *Brady* as well as a jurisdiction’s statutes and rules governing discovery—may impact victim privacy.

**Discussion**

*The Supreme Court case Brady v. Maryland, as well as jurisdiction-specific statutes and court rules, impose discovery and disclosure obligations on the prosecution and defendant—not on the victim.*



In criminal cases, victim privacy is routinely at risk by parties seeking personal records, such as counseling, mental health, medical, employment, educational and child protective services records. The law governing when these records must be disclosed to a defendant is complex, touching on a number of factors, including whether the records are within the government's control; whether they are protected by a privilege; whether any applicable privilege is absolute or qualified; whether a victim has waived any privilege in full or in part; the scope of the jurisdiction's constitutional or statutory rights and/or protections for victims; and the jurisdiction's statutes and rules governing discovery and production. If the records sought are properly in the possession or control of the prosecutor, a defendant may be entitled to them, pursuant to constitutional, statutory or rule-based rights to discovery. If, however, the records are not in the possession (or properly in the possession) of the prosecutor, a defendant must subpoena those records pursuant to the jurisdiction's statutes and rules governing production of documents from a nonparty. Although courts and practitioners sometimes refer to defendant's receipt of materials from both the prosecutor and nonparties as "discovery," this imprecise use of the term confuses a defendant's right to discovery from the prosecutor with a defendant's right to production from a nonparty.

In a criminal prosecution, the term "discovery" refers to the exchange of information between parties to the case—the prosecutor and defendant. *See, e.g.,* Fed R. Crim. P. 16 (entitled "Discovery and Inspection," the rule explicitly and exclusively governs discovery between the government and defendant). It does not govern defendant's ability to obtain information directly from a crime victim or other nonparty. With regard to discovery from the prosecutor, a criminal defendant has no general federal constitutional right to discovery.<sup>25</sup> The prosecutor, instead, is only constitutionally required to disclose information that is exculpatory and material to the issue of guilt, *see Brady v. Maryland*, 373 U.S. 83, 87–88 (1963), and which is within the custody or control of the prosecutor.<sup>26</sup> The *Brady* rule imposes an affirmative "duty to disclose such evidence . . . even [when] there has been no request [for the evidence] by the accused, . . . and . . . the duty encompasses impeachment evidence as well as exculpatory evidence."<sup>27</sup> The prosecutor's *Brady* obligation extends to all exculpatory material and impeachment evidence and to "others acting on the government's behalf in th[e] case."<sup>28</sup>

Federal and state courts have found that prosecution-based victim advocates are considered part of the "prosecution team" for *Brady* purposes.<sup>29</sup> Beyond that material to which a defendant is constitutionally entitled, a prosecutor's obligation to disclose information is governed by statute or procedural rule. A criminal defendant is often entitled to additional discovery materials from the prosecutor pursuant to statutes or rules, though discovery statutes and rules vary widely between jurisdictions.

*Victims should be informed that disclosure requirements—imposed by Brady as well as a jurisdiction's statutes and rules governing discovery—may impact victim privacy.*

Prosecutors are required by law to disclose exculpatory statements to the defense. Because system-based advocates are generally considered agents of the prosecutors, and prosecutors are deemed to know what advocates know, such advocates are generally required to disclose

to the prosecutors the exculpatory statements made by victims to advocates.<sup>30</sup> Examples of exculpatory statements might include:

- “I lied to the police.”
- “I hit him first and he was defending himself.”
- “The crime didn’t happen.”
- “The defendant is not really the person who assaulted me.”
- *Any other statement from a victim that directly implicates a victim’s truthfulness regarding the crime.*
- *Any other statement from the victim that provides information that could be helpful to a defendant’s case.*

Important steps that victim advocates may take to help ensure that their office has appropriate policies and procedures in place to protect victims in light of required disclosures to prosecutors’ offices include:

- Ensure that every person clearly understands the prosecutor’s interpretation and expectations regarding discovery and exculpatory evidence with regard to victim advocates.
- Work with the prosecutors’ offices to create a policy/practice that addresses the limits of system-based advocate confidentiality.
- Inform victims prior to sharing of information if the victim advocate is bound by the rules that govern prosecutors.
- Develop a short, simple explanation to use with victims to communicate your responsibilities (*e.g.*, don’t use the word “exculpatory”).
- Consider including a simple statement in the initial contact letter or notice explaining limitations.
- Determine how and when advocates will remind victims of the limits of confidentiality throughout the process.
- Identify what documentation an advocate might come into contact with and whether the prosecutors’ office considers it discoverable. For example: (1) victim compensation forms; (2) victim impact statements; (3) restitution documentation; and (4) U-Visa application documentation.
- Create policies regarding the types of documentation that an advocate may not need from the victim in order to provide effective victim advocacy (*e.g.*, victim statements, treatment plans, safety plans, opinions, conclusions, criticisms). Determine a process for clearly marking documents that are not discoverable to ensure they are not inadvertently disclosed. For example, use a red stamp that says, “Not Discoverable.”
- Inform the victim at the time they make a disclosure that constitutes exculpatory evidence—or soon as a statement is deemed exculpatory—that it is going to be disclosed.
- When possible, avoid receiving a victim impact statement in writing prior to sentencing.
- Develop relationships with complementary victim advocates and communicate about your obligations and boundaries regarding exculpatory evidence. This will allow everyone to help set realistic expectations with victims regarding privacy.



- Establish how exculpatory information will be communicated to the prosecutor’s office.

**What is *Giglio*, and why is it relevant to my work as an advocate?**

**Key Takeaways**

- The United States Supreme Court (in *Giglio v. United States*) clarified the affirmative responsibility of the prosecutor’s office to disclose to the defendant any information in its possession that is material to their guilt or innocence. This means that the prosecution does not wait for a defendant to ask for material but must disclose it even without them asking.

**Discussion**

*Giglio v. United States*, 405 U.S. 150 (1972), is a case that was heard before the United States Supreme Court.<sup>31</sup> The impact of the Court’s decision in *Giglio* intersects with advocates’ work as it makes it imperative that advocates understand: (1) what “material evidence” is (see *Brady v. Maryland* section for additional information); (2) how the advocate’s role is or is not related to the prosecutor’s office along with any corresponding professional, ethical obligations; (3) ways to avoid re-victimization by preventing violations that would cause a victim to undergo a second trial for the same crime; (4) the types of procedures and regulations that need to be implemented for advocates to ensure—in the face of prosecutor or advocate turnover—that all relevant and appropriate information is provided to the prosecutor handling the case; and (5) whether state or other local laws impose additional obligations that build on those prescribed by *Giglio*.

**What are key considerations for system-based advocates who receive a subpoena?<sup>32</sup>**

**Key Takeaways**

- Advocates may receive subpoenas to appear before the court or elsewhere to provide a sworn statement and/or to appear with specified documents.
- Victims should be informed immediately if advocates receive a subpoena for the information or documents related to a victim’s case.
- There may be grounds to challenge a subpoena issued to a system-based or community-based advocate. These challenges can be made by the prosecutor, the community agency and/or the victims (either with or without the help of an attorney).

### Discussion

In addition to providing prompt notice of receipt of a subpoena to the victim—whose rights and interests are implicated—a key consideration for system-based advocates, their superiors and the attorneys with whom they work is determining the type of subpoena received.<sup>33</sup> Subpoenas that system-based advocates often encounter are subpoenas demanding either: (a) a person’s presence before a court or to a location other than a court for a sworn statement; or (b) a person’s presence along with specified documentation, records or other tangible items.<sup>34</sup>

When system-based advocates receive the latter (which is called a subpoena duces tecum) there are a number of factors that should be considered, such as whether the documentation, record or item sought (a) is discoverable; or (b) constitutes *Brady* material, as defined by federal, state and local law. If an item, for example, is neither discoverable nor *Brady* material, an advocate, by law, may not be required to disclose the item. The same may be true if the item falls within an exception to discovery and does not constitute *Brady* material.<sup>35</sup> For additional information on *Brady* material, see the *Brady v. Maryland* section pertaining to disclosure obligations. Notably, this analysis is relevant to other types of subpoenas as well. For example, if a person is subpoenaed to testify and it is anticipated that defense counsel will attempt to elicit testimony that he/she/they are not legally entitled to, a prosecutor may file a motion in advance—such as a motion in limine or a motion for a protective order—requesting that the scope of the testimony be narrowly tailored or otherwise limited in accordance with the jurisdiction’s laws. For advocates employed by prosecutor’s offices, this analysis must be completed in cooperation with the prosecuting attorney.

Other key considerations for system-based advocates, their superiors and the attorneys they work with include determining: whether the requester has a right to issue a subpoena, and, more specifically, a right to issue a subpoena for the person’s attendance and/or items sought; whether the subpoena is unspecified, vague or overbroad to warrant an objection that the subpoena is facially invalid or procedurally flawed; whether court mechanisms are available to oppose the subpoena; whether such mechanisms are time sensitive and require immediate action; whether the victim received ample notice and adequate information; what the victim’s position is; and whether the law affords the victim privacy, confidentiality or privilege rights or protections that must be protected and enforced.

## SELECT LAWS

## SELECT PRIVACY LAWS

**What are key privacy rights and/or protections in Wyoming?**

Wyoming has adopted myriad statutes and rules that recognize victims' rights and interests and safeguard victims' privacy. For example, Wyoming's Victim and Witness Bill of Rights recognizes a number of key rights that implicate victim privacy. *See, e.g.*, Wyo. Stat. Ann. § 1-40-203(a) (recognizing victims' right to "be treated with compassion, respect and sensitivity"); *id.* at § 1-40-203(b)(vii) (recognizing the right of victims "[t]o be provided, at the discretion of the prosecuting attorney or criminal justice personnel, reasonable protection and safety immediately before, during and after criminal justice proceedings"); *id.* at § 1-40-204(b)(viii) (requiring prosecutors to notify victims of their "right to refuse to talk to attorneys, private investigators, law enforcement, or anyone else unless on the witness stand or under subpoena"); *id.* at § 1-40-205(a) (recognizing the right of victims "to be free from any form of harassment, intimidation or retribution").

Victims also have statutory and rule-based mechanisms that protect their right to privacy by guarding otherwise discoverable information against disclosure. *See, e.g.*, Wyo. R. Crim. Proc. 16(d)(1) (providing, in the context of statutes regulating criminal discovery and inspection, that "[u]pon a sufficient showing the court may at any time order that the discovery or inspection be denied, restricted, or deferred, or make such other order as is appropriate[,] and that, "[u]pon motion by a party, the court may permit the party to make such showing, in whole or in part, in the form of a written statement to be inspected by the judge alone"); Wyo. Gov. Access Ct. Rec. R. 9 (providing that "any party or interested person may file a response within thirty days of service of [a] motion" seeking access to sealed non-confidential documents in a case record, and that "[i]n determining the motion, the court shall consider applicable law and the content and purpose of these rules, and shall, in particular, balance the presumption in favor of public access to court records against the need to protect individual privacy rights and the public interest"); Wyo. Gov. Redac. Ct. Rec. R. 1 (requiring that, except in the case of documents already confidential by law, parties exclude or redact before filing: victims' home addresses; social security numbers; names of minor children; dates of birth; and financial account numbers); *id.* at R. 2 (providing that information about "the registration, filing of a petition, or issuance of a protection order, restraining order or injunction, shall not be made available publicly if such publication would be likely to reveal the identity or location of the party protected under such order"); *id.* at R. 5 (providing that "[f]or good cause, as set forth in Rule 8 of the Rules Governing Access to Court Records, the court may by order in a case: (a) require redaction of additional information; or (b) limit or prohibit a nonparty's remote electronic access to a document filed with the court"); *see also id.* at R. 5.1 (requiring that uniform redaction policy in felony sexual assault cases be adopted).

Wyoming also has rules in place to protect victim privacy in the courtroom. *See, e.g.*, Wyo. R. Crim. P. 53 (granting the presiding court the power to “exercise broad discretion” in determining whether to “prohibit the photographing, radio or television broadcast of a participant in a court proceeding on the judge’s own motion or on the request of a participant in a court proceeding[.]” and explaining that, “[i]n cases involving the victims of crimes . . . a presumption of validity attends such requests”).

Additional privacy rights and protections apply to specific victims or to specific types of crimes. For example, sexual offense victims have heightened privacy rights with respect to the nondisclosure of their identifying information. *See, e.g.*, Wyo. Stat. Ann. § 6-2-319(a) (providing that, before the filing of an information or indictment in sexual assault cases, “neither the names of the alleged actor or the victim of the charged offense nor any other information reasonably likely to disclose the identities of the parties shall be released or negligently allowed to be released to the public by any public employee except as authorized by the judge with jurisdiction over the criminal charges”); *id.* at § 6-2-319(b) (providing that, after an information or indictment is filed “and absent a request to release the identity of a minor victim by the minor or another acting on behalf of a minor victim, the trial court shall, to the extent necessary to protect the welfare of the minor victim, restrict the disclosure of the name of the minor victim unless the name has been publicly disclosed by the parent or legal guardian of the minor or by law enforcement in an effort to find the victim” and that “[t]he trial court may, to the extent necessary to protect the welfare of the minor victim, restrict disclosure of the information reasonably likely to identify the minor victim”); Wyo. Gov. Redac. Ct. Rec. R. 5.1 (requiring that every circuit court “put into place an order establishing policies and procedures governing redaction and access to court files and proceedings in cases that involve felony [sexual assault] charges”); Wyo. Stat. Ann. § 6-4-402(c)–(d) (providing that in incest cases, before the filing of the information or indictment, “neither the name of the person accused or the victim nor any other information reasonably likely to disclose their identity shall be released or negligently allowed to be released to the public by any public employee, except as authorized by the judge with jurisdiction over the criminal charges[.]” and that “[a]fter the filing of an information or indictment and absent a request to release the identity of a minor victim by the victim or another acting on behalf of a minor victim, the trial court shall restrict the disclosure or publication of information reasonably likely to identify the minor victim”); Wyo. Stat. Ann. § 6-4-403(f)–(g) (same as to cases involving child abandonment or endangerment charges).

Wyoming also protects victim privacy through its rape shield law, Wyo. Stat. Ann. § 6-2-312, which prohibits, in sexual assault cases, the introduction of evidence regarding a victim’s sexual behavior or predisposition, except in limited circumstances.

For information about victims’ privacy protections when persons attempt to access victims’ personal information through alternate means, such as public records requests, *see* “Select Confidentiality Laws” section below.

## SELECT CONFIDENTIALITY LAWS

**What are key confidentiality rights and/or protections in Wyoming?**

Wyoming statutes and rules afford victims additional protections from disclosure of confidential or otherwise private information. The stated purposes of Wyoming rules that govern public access to court records are—*inter alia*—to “protect individual privacy rights and public interests” and “prevent public access to information that is confidential as a matter of law, public policy, court rule, or court order[.]” Wyo. Gov. Access Ct. Rec. R. 1. These rules provide a “partial list” of court records and information that are not publicly accessible, including, *inter alia*: (1) identifying information of domestic violence protection order petitioners and their children, *id.* at R. 6(e); (2) records from child abuse and neglect proceedings, *id.* at R. 6(i); (3) presentence investigation reports, *id.* at R. 6(l); (4) discovery material and other items submitted for *in camera* review, *id.* at R. 6(n); (5) mental health counseling records, *id.* at R. 6(p); (6) records sealed by a court, *id.* at R. 6(r); sexual assault victim’s identifying information prior to filing of the information or indictment and minor sexual assault victim’s names, *id.* at R. 6(s); (7) medical records, *id.* at R. 6(t); (8) crime victim compensation applications, *id.* at R. 6(u); (9) child abuse and neglect information, *id.* at R. 6(v); (10) sexual assault victim medical examination information and reports, *id.* at R. 6(hh); and (11) coroner’s records, including toxicology reports, photographs, video recordings or audio recordings made at the scene of death or during postmortem examinations, *id.* at R. 6(kk).

Additional protection from disclosure of confidential or otherwise private information is found in Wyoming’s public records laws. Pursuant to these laws, public access to records is to be denied if public inspection would be contrary to any state statute, federal statute or regulation, or court rule. Wyo. Stat. Ann. § 16-4-203(a). A request for certain public records may also be denied if granting the request would run contrary to the public interest, including “[r]ecords of investigations conducted by, or of intelligence information or security procedures of, any sheriff, county attorney, city attorney, the attorney general, the state auditor, police department or any investigatory files compiled for any other law enforcement or prosecution purposes[.]” *Id.* at § 16-4-203(b)(i). Lastly, requests for disclosure of the following records and information shall be denied “unless otherwise provided by law”: (1) “[m]edical, psychological and sociological data on individual persons, exclusive of coroners’ verdicts and written dockets as provided in W.S. 7-4-105(a),” *id.* at § 16-4-203(d)(i); (2) “[h]ospital records relating to medical administration, medical staff, personnel, medical care and other medical information, whether on individual persons or groups, or whether of a general or specific classification,” *id.* at § 16-4-203(d)(viii); and (3) “[s]chool district records containing information relating to the biography, family, physiology, religion, academic achievement and physical or mental ability of any student except to the person in interest or to the officials duly elected and appointed to supervise him,” *id.* at § 16-4-203(d)(viii).

Another category of records to which access is to be denied pursuant to public records’ requests “unless otherwise provided by law” is “peace officer recordings.” Wyo. Stat. Ann.

§ 16-4-203(d)(xviii). “Peace office recordings”—otherwise known as body-worn or dashboard camera recordings—are defined to include “any audio or video data recorded by a peace officer, as defined in W.S. 6-1-104(a)(vi), on a camera or other device which is (A) Provided to or used by the peace officer in the course of the officer performing official business; and (B) Designed to be worn on the peace officer’s body or attached to a vehicle, as defined in W.S. 6-1-104(a)(xi), used by the officer.” *Id.* at § 16-4-201(a)(xi). Pursuant to Wyoming public records’ laws, access shall be denied with respect to “[i]nformation obtained through a peace officer recording provided that: (A) The custodian shall allow the right of inspection to law enforcement personnel or public agencies for the purpose of conducting official business or pursuant to a court order; (B) The custodian may allow the right of inspection: (I) To the person in interest; (II) If the information involves an incident of deadly force or serious bodily injury as defined in W.S. 6-1-104(a)(x); (III) In response to a complaint against a law enforcement personnel and the custodian of the information determines inspection is not contrary to the public interest; and (IV) In the interest of public safety.” *Id.* at § 16-4-203(d)(xviii).

Wyoming law further mandates that certain information be treated as confidential and provides for specific handling of the confidential information. *See, e.g.*, Wyo. Stat. Ann. § 6-2-709(e) (providing that in prosecutions for human trafficking, “police and prosecuting agencies shall keep the identity of the victim and the victim’s family confidential[.]” and “[t]he prosecutor shall take reasonable steps to protect the victim and the victim’s family from being revictimized”); *id.* at § 6-2-309(m) (providing that medical examinations for victims of sexual assault authorized pursuant to this statute “shall remain confidential healthcare information unless the victim or the victim’s parent or legal guardian executes a release of medical information for the purpose of prosecution to the county attorney, the state of Wyoming or any relevant court[.]” but “if the report of sexual assault described in subsection (a) of this section results in the filing against any person of a criminal charge, or the filing of a petition alleging a delinquent act which would be a felony if committed by an adult, the written report disclosing the results of an examination made pursuant to this section shall be made available to the person charged or his counsel upon demand”); *id.* at § 35-21-112(b) (providing that, in the context of proceedings relating to domestic violence protection orders, “[t]he victim of domestic abuse may at any point during the court proceedings file a motion with the court for entry of an order providing for the confidentiality of the address, city and state of residence or any other information identifying the residence of the victim of domestic abuse and any children residing with the victim of domestic abuse during the court proceedings”); Wyo. Gov. Access Ct. Rec. R. 7 (providing that “[c]onfidential information that is required to be filed as part of a case record shall be submitted by providing a redacted version of the filing along with an unredacted version attached to a separate Confidential Information Form[.]” and that “[t]he Confidential Information Form and any attached confidential information are not subject to public access”).



**SELECT PRIVILEGE LAWS**

**What are key privileges in Wyoming?**

Victims in Wyoming have a number of privileges that they can assert to prevent disclosure of their private communications with, among others, family violence and sexual assault advocates, counselors, social workers, psychologists and physicians. *See, e.g.*, Wyo. Stat. Ann. § 1-12-116(b) (recognizing a family violence and sexual assault advocate-victim privilege); Wyo. Stat. Ann. § 33-38-113 (recognizing a professional counselor, marriage and family therapist, social worker and chemical dependency specialist-client/patient privilege); Wyo. Stat. Ann. § 33-27-123 (recognizing a psychologist-client/patient privilege); Wyo. Stat. Ann. § 1-12-101(i) (recognizing a physician-patient privilege).

For reference, the privileges noted in this section appear below.

<p>Family Violence and Sexual Assault Advocate-Victim Privilege</p>	<p>Wyo. Stat. Ann. § 1-12-116(b).</p> <p>Except as provided by W.S. 14-3-210, a person exempted from testifying under the provisions of W.S. 1-12-116 shall not be examined as a witness in any civil, criminal, legislative or administrative proceeding concerning the following communications and information:</p> <p>(i) An advocate shall not testify concerning a confidential communication made by a victim in the course of that relationship, except the advocate:</p> <p>(A) May testify:</p> <p>(I) With the express consent of the victim; or</p> <p>(II) If the victim voluntarily testifies, provided the advocate’s testimony shall be limited to the same subject matter.</p> <p>(B) May be compelled to testify if the victim is unable to testify due to death or incompetence.</p> <p>(ii) Any employee of a family violence and sexual assault program who has access to confidential communication shall not testify except in those circumstances where the advocate may testify.</p>
<p>Professional Counselors, Marriage and Family Therapists, Social Workers and Chemical</p>	<p>Wyo. Stat. Ann. § 33-38-113.</p> <p>(a) In judicial proceedings, whether civil, criminal, or juvenile, in administrative proceedings, and in proceedings preliminary and ancillary thereto, a patient or client, or his guardian or personal representative, may refuse to disclose and may prevent the</p>



<p>Dependency Specialists-Client/Patient Privilege</p>	<p>disclosure of confidential information, including information contained in administrative records, communicated to a person licensed or otherwise authorized to practice under this act, and their agents, for the purpose of diagnosis, evaluation or treatment of any mental or emotional condition or disorder. A person licensed or otherwise authorized to practice under this act shall not disclose any information communicated as described above in the absence of an express waiver of the privilege except in the following circumstances:</p> <p>(i) Where abuse or harmful neglect of children, the elderly or disabled or incompetent individuals is known or reasonably suspected;</p> <p>(ii) Where the validity of a will of a former patient or client is contested;</p> <p>(iii) Where such information is necessary to defend against a malpractice action brought by the patient or client;</p> <p>(iv) Where an immediate threat of physical violence against a readily identifiable victim is disclosed to the person licensed or otherwise authorized to practice under this act;</p> <p>(v) In the context of civil commitment proceedings, where an immediate threat of self-inflicted damage is disclosed to the person licensed or otherwise authorized to practice under this act;</p> <p>(vi) Where the patient or client alleges mental or emotional damages in civil litigation or otherwise places his mental or emotional state in issue in any judicial or administrative proceeding concerning child custody or visitation;</p> <p>(vii) Where the patient or client is examined pursuant to court order; or</p> <p>(viii) In the context of investigations and hearings brought by the patient or client and conducted by the board where violations of this act are at issue. Information that is deemed to be of sensitive nature shall be inspected by the board in camera and the board shall determine whether or not the information shall become a part of the record and subject to public disclosure.</p>
--	---

<p>Psychologist-Client/Patient Privilege</p>	<p>Wyo. Stat. Ann. § 33-27-123.</p> <p>In judicial proceedings, whether civil, criminal, or juvenile, in legislative and administrative proceedings, and in proceedings preliminary and ancillary thereto, a patient or client, or his guardian or personal representative, may refuse to disclose or prevent the disclosure of confidential information, including information contained in administrative records, communicated to a person licensed or otherwise authorized to practice under this act, or to persons reasonably believed by the patient or client to be so licensed, and their agents, for the purpose of diagnosis, evaluation or treatment of any mental or emotional condition or disorder. The psychologist shall not disclose any information communicated as described above in the absence of an express waiver of the privilege except in the following circumstances:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(i) Where abuse or harmful neglect of children, the elderly or disabled or incompetent individuals is known or reasonably suspected;</li> <li>(ii) Where the validity of a will of a former patient or client is contested;</li> <li>(iii) Where such information is necessary for the psychologist to defend against a malpractice action brought by the patient or client;</li> <li>(iv) Where an immediate threat of physical violence against a readily identifiable victim is disclosed to the psychologist;</li> <li>(v) In the context of civil commitment proceedings, where an immediate threat of self-inflicted damage is disclosed to the psychologist;</li> <li>(vi) Where the patient or client, by alleging mental or emotional damages in litigation, puts his mental state in issue and production of those materials by the patient or client is required by law;</li> <li>(vii) Where the patient or client is examined pursuant to court order; or</li> <li>(viii) In the context of investigations and hearings brought by the patient or client and conducted by the board where violations of this act are at issue. Information that is deemed to be of sensitive nature shall be inspected by the board in camera and the board shall</li> </ul>
--	---

	determine whether or not the information shall become a part of the record and subject to public disclosure.
Physician-Patient Privilege	<p>Wyo. Stat. Ann. § 1-12-101(a)(i).</p> <p>The following persons shall not testify in certain respects:</p> <p>(i) An attorney or a physician concerning a communication made to him by his client or patient in that relation, or his advice to his client or patient. The attorney or physician may testify by express consent of the client or patient, and if the client or patient voluntarily testifies the attorney or physician may be compelled to testify on the same subject[.]</p>

**SELECT DEFINITIONS**

Key definitions are included below.	
Victim and Witness Bill of Rights Definitions	<p>Wyo. Stat. Ann. § 1-40-202.</p> <p>As used in this act:</p> <p>(i) “Criminal act” means conduct which would constitute a crime as defined by the laws of this state;</p> <p>(ii) “Victim” means an individual who has suffered direct or threatened physical, emotional or financial harm as the result of the commission of a criminal act or a family member of a victim who is a minor or an incompetent or a surviving family member of a homicide victim;</p> <p>(iii) “Witness” means a person who is likely to testify in a criminal proceeding;</p> <p>(iv) “Key witness” means any witness identified in writing by the prosecution as being entitled to the rights provided by this act;</p> <p>(v) “This act” means W.S. 1-40-201 through 1-40-210.</p>

<p>Rules Governing Access to Court Records Definitions</p>	<p>Wyo. Gov. Access Ct. Rec. R. 2.</p> <p>(a) “Administrative record” means any document or information pertaining to the administration of the courts, except personnel records, internal electronic or physical mail, appellate case assignments, and documents made confidential by statute, administrative rule, court rule, or court order.</p> <p>(b) “Bulk distribution” means the distribution of all, or a significant subset, of the information in court records, as is and without modification or compilation.</p> <p>(c) “Case record” means any document or information collected, received, or maintained by a custodian in connection with a specific case or judicial proceeding, except judicial or judicial staff work product, internal electronic or physical mail, memoranda or drafts, appellate case assignments, and documents made confidential by statute, administrative rule, court rule, or court order.</p> <p>(d) “Compiled information” means information that is derived from the selection, aggregation, or reformulation by the court of some of the information from more than one case record, including statistical reports and information that are not available in an existing record or report.</p> <p>(e) “Confidential” means unavailable to public access as a matter of state or federal statute, administrative rule, court rule, or court order.</p> <p>(f) “Court” means the court in which the court record resides at the time of an access request.</p> <p>(g) “Court record” means case records and administrative records, in whatever format, except personnel records, judicial or judicial staff work product, internal electronic or physical mail, memoranda or drafts, appellate case assignments, and records made confidential by statute, administrative rule, court rule, or court order.</p> <p>(h) “Custodian” means the clerk of court, or his or her designee, unless otherwise designated by court order.</p> <p>(i) “Data element” means information contained in a field in a computer database.</p>
--	---

	<p>(j) “Electronic record” means any court record that is recorded in a form that only a computer can process, irrespective of whether it also exists in physical form.</p> <p>(k) “Interested person” means any non-party identified in a case record.</p> <p>(l) “Person” means any individual, business entity, media organization, or government agency for which there is no policy, statute, or rule defining the agency’s access to court records.</p> <p>(m) “Personnel record” means any documents or information relating to the employment of persons within the judiciary, except name, position, and salary.</p> <p>(n) “Public” means any person except court employees, persons who provide court services, government agencies whose access to court records is defined by law, and the parties and attorneys involved in a particular case.</p> <p>(o) “Public access” means that the public may inspect and obtain a copy of the information in a court record unless prohibited by statute, administrative rule, court rule, or court order.</p> <p>(p) “Remote access” means the ability electronically to search, inspect, or copy information in a court record without the need physically to visit the court facility where the record is maintained.</p> <p>(q) “Vendor” means any governmental or non-governmental provider of a court information technology system.</p>
<p>Public Records Act Definitions</p>	<p>Wyo. Stat. Ann. § 16-4-201(a).</p> <p>As used in this act:</p> <p>(i) “Custodian” means the official custodian or any authorized person having personal custody and control of the public records in question;</p> <p>(ii) “Official custodian” means any officer or employee of a governmental entity, who is responsible for the maintenance, care and keeping of public records, regardless of whether the records are in his actual personal custody and control;</p>

(iii) “Person in interest” means the person who is the subject of a record or any representative designated by the person, except if the subject of the record is under legal disability or is the dependent high school student of his parents, “person in interest” means the parent or duly appointed legal representative;

(iv) “Political subdivision” means every county, city and county, city, incorporated and unincorporated town, school district and special district within the state;

(v) “Public records” when not otherwise specified includes any information in a physical form created, accepted, or obtained by a governmental entity in furtherance of its official function and transaction of public business which is not privileged or confidential by law. Without limiting the foregoing, the term “public records” includes any written communication or other information, whether in paper, electronic, or other physical form, received by a governmental entity in furtherance of the transaction of public business of the governmental entity, whether at a meeting or outside a meeting. Electronic communications solely between students attending a school in Wyoming and electronic communications solely between students attending a school in Wyoming and a sender or recipient using a nonschool user address are not a public record of that school. As used in this paragraph, a “school in Wyoming” means the University of Wyoming, any community college and any public school within a school district in the state;

(vi) Public records shall be classified as follows:

(A) “Official public records” includes all original vouchers, receipts and other documents necessary to isolate and prove the validity of every transaction relating to the receipt, use and disposition of all public property and public income from all sources whatsoever; all agreements and contracts to which a governmental entity is a party; all fidelity, surety and performance bonds; all claims filed against a governmental entity; all records or documents required by law to be filed with or kept by a governmental entity of Wyoming; and all other documents or records determined by the records committee to be official public records;

(B) “Office files and memoranda” includes all records, correspondence, exhibits, books, booklets, drawings, maps, blank forms, or documents not defined and classified in subparagraph (A) of this subsection as official public records; all duplicate copies of official public records filed with any governmental entity; all documents and reports made for the internal administration of the

	<p>office to which they pertain but not required by law to be filed or kept with the office; and all other documents or records, determined by the records committee to be office files and memoranda.</p> <p>(vii) Repealed by Laws 2012, ch. 74, § 2, eff. July 1, 2012.</p> <p>(viii) “This act” means W.S. 16-4-201 through 16-4-205;</p> <p>(ix) “Application” means a written request for a public record. However, a designated public records person may in his discretion deem a verbal request to be an application;</p> <p>(x) “Information” means opinions, facts, or data of any kind and in whatever physical form kept or maintained, including, but not limited to, written, aural, visual, electronic or other physical form;</p> <p>(xi) “Peace officer recording” means any audio or video data recorded by a peace officer, as defined in W.S. 6-1-104(a)(vi), on a camera or other device which is:</p> <p>(A) Provided to or used by the peace officer in the course of the officer performing official business; and</p> <p>(B) Designed to be worn on the peace officer’s body or attached to a vehicle, as defined in W.S. 6-1-104(a)(xi), used by the officer.</p> <p>(xii) “Designated public records person” means the person designated as required by W.S. 16-4-202(e) or that person’s designee;</p> <p>(xiii) “Governmental entity” means the state of Wyoming, an agency, political subdivision or state institution of Wyoming.</p> <p>(xiv) “Ombudsman” means the person designated by the governor as required by subsection (c) of this section.</p>
<p>Family Violence and Sexual Assault Advocate-Victim Privilege Definitions</p>	<p>Wyo. Stat. Ann. § 1-12-116(a).</p> <p>As used in this section:</p> <p>(i) “Advocate” or “family violence or sexual assault advocate” means a person who is employed by or volunteers services to any family violence and sexual assault program, who is certified by the program as having undergone at least forty (40) hours of crisis advocacy training and whose work is directed and supervised under a family violence and sexual assault program;</p>



	<p>(ii) “Confidential communication” means information transmitted in confidence between a victim and an advocate in the course of that relationship and includes all information received by, and any report, working paper or document prepared by the advocate in the course of that relationship;</p> <p>(iii) “Crisis services to victims of family violence and sexual assault” means emergency and follow-up intervention, information, referral services and medical, legal and social services advocacy;</p> <p>(iv) “Family violence and sexual assault program” means a program whose primary purpose is to offer shelter and crisis services to victims of family violence and sexual assault through any community facility or center;</p> <p>(v) “Shelter” means a place of temporary refuge, offered on a twenty-four (24) hour, seven (7) day per week basis to victims and their children;</p> <p>(vi) “Victim” means a person who has been subjected to sexual assault as defined by W.S. 6-2-301(a)(v), incest as defined by W.S. 6-4-402 or domestic abuse as defined by W.S. 35-21-102(a)(iii).</p>
--	--

<sup>1</sup> See *Office for Victims of Crime, Ethical Standards, Section I: Scope of Services*, [https://www.ovc.gov/model-standards/ethical\\_standards\\_1.html](https://www.ovc.gov/model-standards/ethical_standards_1.html).

<sup>2</sup> Additional examples of system-based advocate titles include: district attorney’s office/state attorney’s office advocates or victim-witness coordinators; law enforcement advocates; FBI victim specialists; U.S. attorney’s office victim-witness coordinators; board of parole and post-prison supervision advocates; and post-conviction advocates.

<sup>3</sup> Examples of community-based advocates include: crisis hotline or helpline staff; rape crisis center staff; domestic violence shelter staff; campus advocates; and homicide support program staff.

<sup>4</sup> See Nat’l Crime Victim Law Inst., *Refusing Discovery Requests of Privileged Materials Pretrial in Criminal Cases*, NCVLI Violence Against Women Bulletin (Nat’l Crime Victim Law Inst., Portland, Or.), June 2011, at 3 n.30 (listing victims’ constitutional and statutory rights to privacy and to dignity, respect or fairness).

<sup>5</sup> See, e.g., *Whalen v. Roe*, 429 U.S. 589, 599–600 (1977) (recognizing that the United States Constitution provides a right of personal privacy, which includes an “individual interest in avoiding disclosure of personal matters”); *Roe v. Wade*, 410 U.S. 113, 152–53 (1973) (“[A] right to personal privacy . . . does exist under the Constitution.”).

<sup>6</sup> There are different levels of privileges: absolute, absolute diluted and qualified. When an absolute privilege attaches, only a victim has the right to authorize disclosure of that information and the court can never order the information to be disclosed without the victim’s consent. Absolute privileges are rare, however, because privileges are seen to run contrary to the truth finding function of courts.

<sup>7</sup> See, e.g., Ala. R. Evid. 503A(a)(7) (“‘Victim counselor’ means any employee or supervised volunteer of a victim counseling center or other agency, business, or organization that provides counseling to victims, who is not affiliated with a law enforcement agency or prosecutor’s office and whose duties include treating victims for any emotional or psychological condition resulting from a sexual assault or family violence.”); Alaska Stat. Ann. § 18.66.250(5)(B) (“‘[V]ictim counseling center’ means a private organization, an organization operated by or contracted by a branch of the armed forces of the United States, or a local government agency that . . . is not affiliated with a law enforcement agency or a prosecutor’s office[.]”); Haw. Rev. Stat. Ann. § 626-1, Rule 505.5(a)(6) (“A ‘victim counseling program’ is any activity of a domestic violence victims’ program or a sexual assault crisis center that has,

as its primary function, the counseling and treatment of sexual assault, domestic violence, or child abuse victims and their families, and that operates independently of any law enforcement agency, prosecutor’s office, or the department of human services.”); Ind. Code Ann. § 35-37-6-5(2) (“[V]ictim service provider’ means a person . . . that is not affiliated with a law enforcement agency[.]”); Neb. Rev. Stat. Ann. § 29-4302(1) (“Advocate means any employee or supervised volunteer of a domestic violence and sexual assault victim assistance program or of any other agency, business, or organization that is not affiliated with a law enforcement or prosecutor’s office whose primary purpose is assisting domestic violence and sexual assault victims[.]”); N.M. Stat. Ann. § 31-25-2(E) (“[V]ictim counselor’ means any employee or supervised volunteer of a victim counseling center or other agency, business or organization that provides counseling to victims who is not affiliated with a law enforcement agency or the office of a district attorney[.]”).

<sup>8</sup> Terms that inform the intersection of victim services and HIPAA, FERPA, FOIA or VOCA are “implied consent” and “waiver.” “Informed consent” is defined as “1. [a] person’s agreement to allow something to happen, made with full knowledge of the risks involved and the alternatives. For the legal profession, informed consent is defined in Model Rule of Professional Conduct 1.0(e); [or] 2. [a] patient’s knowing choice about a medical treatment or procedure, made after a physician or other healthcare provider discloses whatever information a reasonably prudent provider in the medical field community would give to a patient regarding the risks involved in the proposed treatment or procedure.” *Informed consent*, Black’s Law Dictionary (8th ed. 2004). “Waiver” is defined as “[t]he voluntary relinquishment or abandonment—express or implied—of a legal right or advantage . . . .” *Waiver*, Black’s Law Dictionary (8th ed. 2004).

<sup>9</sup> *School Resource Officers, School Law Enforcement Units, and the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA)*, [https://studentprivacy.ed.gov/sites/default/files/resource\\_document/file/SRO\\_FAQs\\_2-5-19\\_0.pdf](https://studentprivacy.ed.gov/sites/default/files/resource_document/file/SRO_FAQs_2-5-19_0.pdf).

<sup>10</sup> *Id.*

<sup>11</sup> *Id.*

<sup>12</sup> *Id.*

<sup>13</sup> *Are law enforcement records considered education records?*, <https://studentprivacy.ed.gov/faq/are-law-enforcement-records-considered-education-records>.

<sup>14</sup> *Id.*

<sup>15</sup> *Office for Victims of Crime, Crime Victims Fund*, <https://www.ovc.gov/pubs/crimevictimsfundfs/intro.html#VictimAssist>.

<sup>16</sup> *Id.*

<sup>17</sup> *Id.*

<sup>18</sup> Department of Justice Guide to the Freedom of Information Act, at 1, <https://www.justice.gov/sites/default/files/oip/legacy/2014/07/23/exemption6.pdf>.

<sup>19</sup> *Id.*

<sup>20</sup> *Ethic*, Merriam-webster.com, <https://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/ethics> (last visited July 31, 2019).

<sup>21</sup> *Office for Victims of Crime, Purpose & Scope of The Standards*, [https://www.ovc.gov/model-standards/purpose\\_and\\_scope.html](https://www.ovc.gov/model-standards/purpose_and_scope.html).

<sup>22</sup> *Id.* Each of the five sections contain ethical standards and corresponding commentaries, explaining each standard in detail. For “Scope of Services,” the ethical standards and their corresponding commentaries can be located at [https://www.ovc.gov/model-standards/ethical\\_standards\\_1.html](https://www.ovc.gov/model-standards/ethical_standards_1.html). For “Coordinating within the Community,” the ethical standards and their corresponding commentaries can be located at [https://www.ovc.gov/model-standards/ethical\\_standards\\_2.html](https://www.ovc.gov/model-standards/ethical_standards_2.html). For “Direct Services,” the ethical standards and their corresponding commentaries can be located at [https://www.ovc.gov/model-standards/ethical\\_standards\\_3.html](https://www.ovc.gov/model-standards/ethical_standards_3.html). For “Privacy, Confidentiality, Data Security and Assistive Technology,” the ethical standards and their corresponding commentaries can be located at [https://www.ovc.gov/model-standards/ethical\\_standards\\_4.html](https://www.ovc.gov/model-standards/ethical_standards_4.html). For “Administration and Evaluation,” the ethical standard and the corresponding commentary can be located at [https://www.ovc.gov/model-standards/ethical\\_standards\\_5.html](https://www.ovc.gov/model-standards/ethical_standards_5.html).

<sup>23</sup> *Office for Victims of Crime, Ethical Standards for Serving Victims & Survivors of Crime*, [https://www.ovc.gov/model-standards/ethical\\_standards.html](https://www.ovc.gov/model-standards/ethical_standards.html).

<sup>24</sup> For a sample law enforcement-based victim services code of ethics drafted by the International Association of Chiefs of Police, see *Law Enforcement-Based Victim Services – Template Package I: Getting Started*, [https://www.theiacp.org/sites/default/files/LEV/Publications/Template%20Package%20I%20C%20final\\_11.02.20.pdf](https://www.theiacp.org/sites/default/files/LEV/Publications/Template%20Package%20I%20C%20final_11.02.20.pdf).

<sup>25</sup> See *Weatherford v. Bursey*, 429 U.S. 545, 559 (1977).

<sup>26</sup> See *United States v. Agurs*, 427 U.S. 97, 106–07 (1976).

<sup>27</sup> *Strickler v. Greene*, 527 U.S. 263, 280 (1999).

<sup>28</sup> *Id.*

<sup>29</sup> See, e.g., *Eakes v. Sexton*, 592 F. App'x 422, 429 (6th Cir. 2014) (finding that “contrary to the district court’s conclusion that the [state] prosecutor was not responsible for failing to disclose the Victim-Advocate report because the Advocate was located ‘in a separate part of the District Attorney’s office,’ the prosecutor is in fact responsible for disclosing all *Brady* information in the possession of that office, such as the Victim-Advocate report, even if the prosecutor was unaware of the evidence prior to trial”); *Commonwealth v. Liang*, 747 N.E.2d 112, 114 (Mass. 2001) (concluding that “the notes of [prosecution-based] advocates are subject to the same discovery rules as the notes of prosecutors[,]” and “[t]o the extent that the notes contain material, exculpatory information . . . or relevant ‘statements’ of a victim or witness . . . the Commonwealth must disclose such information or statements to the defendant, in accordance with due process and the rules of criminal procedure”).

<sup>30</sup> Notably, for advocates/entities that receive VOCA funding, because this disclosure is “compelled by statutory or court mandate,” it does not pursuant to statute, require a signed, written release from the victim. Nevertheless, if disclosure is required, VOCA requires that advocates make reasonable attempts to notify the victim affected by the disclosure and take whatever steps are necessary to protect their privacy and safety.

<sup>31</sup> Defendant John Giglio was tried, convicted and sentenced for forgery related crimes. While Giglio’s case was pending appeal, his attorney filed a motion for a new trial, claiming that there was newly discovered evidence that the key Government witness—“the only witness linking [Giglio] with the crime”—had been promised that he would not be prosecuted in exchange for his testimony. The defense attorney’s motion was initially denied, but certiorari review was granted “to determine whether the evidence [that was] not disclosed . . . require[d] a new trial under the due process criteria of” cases, including *Brady v. Maryland*, 373 U.S. 83, 87 (1963), which “held that suppression of material evidence justifies a new trial” whether the prosecutor intended to withhold information or not. “An affidavit filed by the Government as part of its opposition to a new trial confirm[ed] [Giglio’s] claim that a promise was made to [the key Government witness]” by the former Assistant United States Attorney “that [the witness] would not be prosecuted if he cooperated with the Government.” This promise of leniency was made by the formerly assigned Assistant United States Attorney who did not handle the trial; and the Assistant United States Attorney who handled the trial was unaware of the promise. The Supreme Court held that nondisclosure of material evidence “is the responsibility of the prosecutor”—whether nondisclosure was intentional or not—and that such action is directly attributable to the Government. Addressing the topic of “turnover,” principally, the Court explained that “[t]o the extent this places a burden on the large prosecution offices, procedures and regulations can be established to carry that burden and to [e]nsure communication of all relevant information on each case to every lawyer who deals with it.” Giglio’s conviction was reversed, and the case was remanded to the lower court.

<sup>32</sup> This section addresses subpoenas directed to system-based advocates. For information concerning community-based advocates and subpoenas, please contact NCVLI for technical assistance.

<sup>33</sup> Terminology for subpoenas varies from jurisdiction-to-jurisdiction. Common examples of subpoenas include: “subpoenas”; “subpoenas duces tecum”; “deposition subpoenas”; and “subpoenas ad testificandum.” See *Subpoena*, Black’s Law Dictionary (8th ed. 2004).

<sup>34</sup> See *Subpoena*, Black’s Law Dictionary (8th ed. 2004) (defining “subpoena” as “[a] writ commanding a person to appear before a court or other tribunal, subject to a penalty for failing to comply”); *subpoena duces tecum*, Black’s Law Dictionary (8th ed. 2004) (defining “subpoena duces tecum” as “[a] subpoena ordering the witness to appear and to bring specified documents, records, or things”); *deposition subpoena*, Black’s Law Dictionary (8th ed. 2004) (defining “deposition subpoena” as “1. [a] subpoena issued to summon a person to make a sworn statement in a time and place other than a trial[;] [and] 2. [i]n some jurisdictions, [this is referred to as] a subpoena duces tecum”).

<sup>35</sup> Attorney work product “is generally exempt from discovery or other compelled disclosure.” *Work product*, Black’s Law Dictionary (8th ed. 2004).

This draft publication was developed by the National Crime Victim Law Institute (NCVLI) under 2018-V3-GX-K049, awarded to the International Association of Chiefs of Police (IACP) by the Office for Victims of Crime, Office of Justice Programs, U.S. Department of Justice. The opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this draft publication are those of the contributors and do not necessarily represent the official position of the U.S. Department of Justice.