# ENVIRONMENTAL LAW

# Lewis & Clark Law School

Volume 32	Summer 2002	Number 3
ARTICLE		
	ter Rights, the Endangered Specients	
Claims' decision. United State the plaintiff property interpolation applied a panalysis. The aregulatory minimis actual limited nature exercise the threatened state.	discusses the United States Coursion in <i>Tulare Lake Basin Water States</i> . The author critiques the court'fs' water contracts constituted be rests and argues that the court only sical—as opposed to regular a Article concludes that had the coutakings analysis, it would have fountal impact on the plaintiffs' rights, are of the plaintiffs' reasonable expecies, prevent any successful of the Fifth Amendment.	orage District 's finding that protectable 't improperly tory—takings art conducted and that the de as well as the expectations to that harmed
CLEAR THE AIR		
Endangered Nature	espond to Footnote 65 of "Law e, the Press, and the Dicey G munt J.B. Plater	Same of Democratio
View this document or	<u>ı Westlaw</u>	
Plater Replies: Lopside	ed Journalism in Public Policy Deba	tes 591
View this document or	ı Westlaw	
	2001 NINTH CIRCUIT ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW	
INTRODUCTION		599
CASE SUMMARIES	S	605

## **CHAPTERS**

Ms. Hartt discusses the implications of *Pacific Coast Federation of Fishermen's Associations, Inc. v. National Marine Fisheries Service*, which affirmed the mandate of the Northwest Forest Plan to protect salmonid habitat at multiple scales. The author explains that the case hold important lessons for environmentalists challenging logging activities with adverse cumulative effects on protected species and their habitats. Ms. Hartt also discusses the decline of biodiversity in forests of the southeastern United States, and concludes that the inadequacies of the National Environmental Policy Act and National Forest Management Act demand regional plans that may better be able to conserve those species threatened by logging's detrimental cumulative effects.

### View this document on Westlaw

In his Chapter, Mr. Foster discusses the continuing violations doctrine of the Clean Water Act, which maintains that a discharge occurs each day illegal fill remains in a wetland. The author argues that the Clean Water Act neither expressly nor impliedly authorizes the continuing violations doctrine, but that the Act's failure to hold many potential violators accountable for illegal activities is at odds with the purpose of the statute. Mr. Foster concludes that an amendment incorporating the continuing violations doctrine is necessary to the achievement of Congress's goals in passing the Clean Water Act.

### View this document on Westlaw

NINTH CIRCUIT INDEX	7	4	:7
---------------------	---	---	----